



An Investigation into the Order of Difference of Product of Consecutive Integral Perfect Powers

Ladan, Umaru Ibrahim ^{a*}, Emmanuel, J. D. Garba ^b
and Tanko Ishaya ^{c++}

^a Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Jos, Nigeria.

^b Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Jos, Nigeria.

^c Department of Computer Science, University of Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMCS/2023/v38i111844

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/109552>

Original Research Article

Received: 13/09/2023

Accepted: 20/11/2023

Published: 29/11/2023

Abstract

The article established an analysis on the difference of the product of order of integral perfect powers. The proof on the analysis were achieved by the use of property of difference operator, combinatorial technique, mathematical induction principle. The results proved conclusively that if any number of consecutive integers are raised to a positive power k , then the $(2k)^{\text{th}}$ difference of the product of the k^{th} power of two consecutive integers is equal to $(2k)!$

⁺⁺ Professor and Vice Chancellor;

*Corresponding author: Email: umaruladan4u@gmail.com;

Keywords: *Mathematical induction; finite difference; positive powers; integral order; factorial differential operator.*

1 Introduction

An integral perfect power is the irrational root that is an integer. A perfect power is a number which has rational root Chase [1]. A finite difference is a mathematical expression of the form $f(x + b) - f(x + a)$ and a forward difference is of the form.

$$\Delta_h [f](x) = f(x + h) - f(x) \quad [2]$$

In this article, an examination on the set of positive integers $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ reveals that the product of consecutive elements of the set of the integers are $\{0, 2, 6, 12, 20, 30, 42, 56, 72\}$. The first difference of the set of product of this integers is the set of even numbers $\{2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16\}$. Furthermore, the set of the differences of the preceding difference set is $\{2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2\} = \{2\}$, a singleton set. Clearly, the set of differences of the above second difference set is $\{0\}$. The emerging pattern motivates the investigation of whether or not this pattern will persist for all perfect squares and higher power greater than two resulting integers.

In their contributions in Exponential Diophantine equations, [3] investigated perfect powers at integral values of a polynomial, and obtain in particular the following result; let $f(x)$ be a polynomial with rational integer coefficients and with a least two simple rational zeros suppose $b \neq 0$, $m \geq 0$, x and y with $|Y| > 1$ are rational integers. Then the equation $f(x) = by^m$ implies that m is bounded by computable number depending only on b and f . Also in their contributions in Exponential Diophantine Equations involving product of consecutive integers, Perfect powers in values of certain polynomials at integer points, Perfect powers in product of terms in an arithmetical progression among others, Shorey and Tijdeman [4-11]. They investigated Product of integers and other related equations. There was also discussion on orders of difference of perfect powers by Ladan, Ukwu and Apine [12]. They established a relation between the difference operator and factorial on the set of integers. Their investigation proved that, for any positive integer k , the k^{th} order difference of the k^{th} power of any integer is equal to $k!$

That is;

$$\Delta^k (j^k) = k! \text{ for any positive integer } j \text{ and for any positive integer } k.$$

More generally, in the sequel, the question at the heart of the matter is the following; what is the computation disposition of the orders of difference of the product of consecutive integral perfect powers. Review of literature shows that no such investigation has been undertaken. Thus, this article adds to the existing body of knowledge, by providing answers to the above questions.

2 Methods

2.1 Preliminary definitions

In what follows, differences of finite orders will be defined.

2.1.1 Differences of order one (1)

Given a sequence $\{f_j\}_1^\infty$, defined the difference of order one at j with respect to the sequence by:

$$\Delta (f_j) = f_{j+1} - f_j, \text{ for every integral } j.$$

2.1.2 Higher order differences

Higher Order differences can be defined recursively by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^k (f_j) &= \Delta(\Delta^{k-1}(f_j)) \\ &= \Delta^{k-1}(\Delta(f_j)) \\ &= \Delta^{k-1}[f_{j+1} - f_j] \text{ for } k \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Preliminary theorem

Suppose that $f_j = j$ for integral j , such that $j \geq 0$, we have that;

- i. $\Delta[(f_j)(f_{j+1})]$ is even
- ii. $\Delta^2[(f_j)(f_{j+1})] = 2$
- iii. $\Delta^k[(f_j)(f_{j+1})] = 0, k \in \{3, 4, \dots\}$.

Proof:

Let $j = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$

Then the table below yields values of $\Delta^k (f_j^p)$ for selected values of k and P in the set $\{1\}$ and $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ respectively, when $\Delta^0 (f_j^p) = f_j^p$.

Table 1. Difference of product order table for selected consecutive positive integers

f_j	$(f_j)(f_{j+1}) = i$	Δi	$\Delta^2 i$	$\Delta^3 i$
0	0	2	2	0
1	2	4	2	0
2	6	6	2	0
3	12	8	2	0
4	20	10	2	0
5	30	12	2	0
6	42	14	2	
7	56	16		
8	72			
9				

It is clear that $\Delta^k [(f_j) (f_{j+1})] = 0$

For all $k \geq 4$ and $J \in \{0, 1, \dots, 8, 9\}$.

Obviously, the theorem is valid $J \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ as observed from columns 4 and 5. The proofs of (i), (ii) and (iii) are direct.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i. } \Delta[(f_j)(f_{j+1})] &= \Delta(j)(j+1) = \Delta(j^2 + j) \\ &= \Delta j^2 + \Delta j \\ &= [(j+1)^2 - (j)^2] + [(j+1) - (j)] \\ &= j^2 + 2j + 1 - j^2 + j + 1 - j \\ &= 2j + 2 = 2(j+1) = \text{even.} \end{aligned}$$

It shows that is even for positive integers j . proving (i)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ii. } \Delta^2 [(f_j)(f_{j+1})] &= \Delta [2(j+1)] = 2 [\Delta(j+1)] \\ &= 2 (\Delta j + \Delta 1) \\ &= 2 \Delta j = 2 (j+1 - j) \\ &= 2 (1) = 2 \end{aligned}$$

Proving (ii)

iii. The principle of mathematical induction is needed in the proof of (iii)
 For $k=3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^3 [(f_j)(f_{j+1})] &= \Delta (\Delta^2 (j^2 + j)) = \Delta (2) = 2 - 2 = 0 \\ \text{Consequently } \Delta^k (j^2 + j) &= \Delta^{k-3} (\Delta^3 (j^2 + j)) \\ &= \Delta^k (0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

For all $k \geq 4$, proving

$\Delta^k (j^2 + j) = 0$, for all positive integer For all $k \geq 3$. This established the proof of (iii).

In the sequel, we examine the computational disposition of $\Delta^k [(f_j^p)(f_{j+1}^p)]$ for every positive integral k and p . The results are summarized as in the following theorem.

3.2 Main theorem

Let $f_j = j$ where j is any positive integer. Then for arbitrary positive integer k and p , $\Delta^k [(f_j^p)(f_{j+1}^p)]$ is

$$\text{given by } \Delta^k [(f_j^p)(f_{j+1}^p)] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k > 2p \dots \dots \dots \text{(a)} \\ (2k)!, & \text{if } k = 2p \dots \dots \dots \text{(b)}. \end{cases}$$

3.2.1 Proof of (a):

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^3 [(f_j)(f_{j+1})] &= \Delta^3 [(j)(j + 1)] = \Delta^3 (j^2 + j) = \\ \Delta^2 (\Delta j^2 + \Delta j) &= \Delta^2 [(j + 1)^2 - j^2 + (j + 1) - j] = \\ \Delta^2 [(j^2 + 2j + 1 - j^2) + (j + 1 - j)] &= \Delta^2 (2j + 1 + 1) = \\ \Delta^2 (2j + 2) &= \Delta [\Delta(2j + 2)] = \Delta(2\Delta j + \Delta 2) = \\ \Delta[2(j + 1) - j] &= \Delta[2(j + 1 - j)] = \Delta[2(1)] = \Delta 2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So (a) is valid for $k=3$ and $P=1$, which are the minimal values for the respective exponents. Assume that (a) is valid for all pairs of integer \tilde{k}, \tilde{p} for which $\tilde{k} \tilde{p} \leq k + p$ for some positive integers \tilde{k} and \tilde{p} such that $p \geq 1, k \geq 3, p < k$. Then,

$$\Delta^{k+1} [(f_j)(f_{j+1})] = \Delta [\Delta^k (f_j)(f_{j+1})] = \Delta(0) = 0$$

(by induction hypothesis). Therefore, the validity of (a) is established.

3.2.2 Proof of (b)

We examine $\Delta^{2k} [(f_j^k)(f_{j+1}^k)]: k = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \Delta^2 [(f_j)(f_{j+1})] &= \Delta^2 [(j)(j + 1)] = \Delta^2 (j^2 + j) = \\ \Delta[\Delta j^2 + \Delta j] &= \Delta[(j + 1)^2 - j^2 + (j + 1) - j] \\ &= \Delta(j^2 + 2j + 1 - j^2 + j + 1 - j) \\ &= \Delta(2j + j) = 2\Delta j + \Delta 2 \\ &= 2[(j + 1) - j + 2 - 2] = 2(1 + 0) \\ &= 2(1) = [2(1)]! = 2! \end{aligned}$$

$$k = 2 \Rightarrow \Delta^4 [(f_j^2)(f_{j+1}^2)] = [2(2)]! = 4!$$

(by induction hypothesis)

\Rightarrow The theorem is valid for $k \in \{1, 2\}$.

Assume the validity of the theorem for $k \in \{3, \dots, q\}$ for some integer $q \geq 4$.

Then $\Delta^{2q} \left[\binom{q}{j} \binom{q}{j+1} \right] = [2 (q)]!$

(by induction hypothesis). Finally, we need to prove that:

$$\Delta^{2(q+1)} \left[\binom{q+1}{j} \binom{q+1}{j+1} \right] = [2 (q + 1)]!$$

Furthermore, there is a strong relationship between the difference operator Δ and the differential operator D .

$D^k (j^p) = 0$ if $k > P$

$$D^k (j^p) = k! \dots\dots\dots (I)$$

$$\Delta^k (j^p) = k! \dots\dots\dots (II)$$

By comparism of (I) and (II)

$$\Rightarrow D^k (J^k) = \Delta^k (J^k) \dots\dots\dots (III)$$

We shall apply the differential operator to show the validity of proof (b) for $p = \{1, 2, 3\}$.

We examine the sequence,

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{p}{j} \binom{p}{j+1} &= (J^p) (j + 1)^p \\ &= j^p \left[\sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} j^{p-i} \right] \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} j^{2p-i} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Case 1, P = 1

$$\begin{aligned} (f_j)(f_{j+1}) &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^1 \binom{1}{i} j^{2-i} = j^{2-j} \right] \\ \Delta[(f_j)(f_{j+1})] &= \frac{d}{dj} (j^{2-j}) = 2j^{-1} \\ \Delta^2[(f_j)(f_{j+1})] &= \frac{d}{dj} (2j^{-1}) = 2 [2(1)]! = 2! \\ \Delta \left[\sum_{i=0}^1 \binom{1}{i} j^{2-i} \right] &= [2(1)]! \end{aligned}$$

Case 2, P = 2

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^2 \binom{2}{i} j^{4-i} &= j^4 + 2j^3 + j^2 \\ \Delta \sum_{i=0}^2 \binom{2}{i} j^{4-i} &= \frac{d}{dj} (j^4 + 2j^3 + j^2) = 4j^3 + 6j^2 + 2j \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta^2 \sum_{i=0}^2 \binom{2}{i} j^{4-i} = \frac{d}{dj} (4j^3 + 6j^2 + 2j) = 12j^2 + 12j + 2$$

$$\Delta^3 \sum_{i=0}^2 \binom{2}{i} j^{4-i} = \frac{d}{dj} (12j^2 + 12j + 2) = 24j + 12$$

$$\Delta^4 \sum_{i=0}^2 \binom{2}{i} j^{4-i} = \frac{d}{dj} (24j + 12) = 24 = 4!$$

$$\Rightarrow 4! = [2(2)]! = 24$$

which established the validity of the proof of (b).

Case 3, P = 3

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^3 \binom{3}{i} j^{6-i} = j^6 + 3j^5 + 3j^4 + j^3$$

$$\Delta \left[\sum_{i=0}^3 \binom{3}{i} j^{6-i} \right] = 6j^5 + 15j^4 + 12j^3 + 3j^2$$

$$\Delta^2 \left[\sum_{i=0}^3 \binom{3}{i} j^{6-i} \right] = 30j^4 + 60j^3 + 36j^2 + 6j$$

$$\Delta^3 \left[\sum_{i=0}^3 \binom{3}{i} j^{6-i} \right] = 120j^3 + 180j^2 + 72j + 6$$

$$\Delta^4 \left[\sum_{i=0}^3 \binom{3}{i} j^{6-i} \right] = 360j^2 + 360j + 72$$

$$\Delta^5 \left[\sum_{i=0}^3 \binom{3}{i} j^{6-i} \right] = 720j + 360$$

$$\Delta^6 \left[\sum_{i=0}^3 \binom{3}{i} j^{6-i} \right] = 720 = 6! = [2(3)]!$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta^{2P} \left[\sum_{i=0}^P \binom{P}{i} j^{2P-i} \right] = [2(p)]!
= (2P)!$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta^k \left[\binom{P}{j} \right]_{j+1} = (2k)!, \text{ if } k = 2p.$$

Thus the validity of (b) is established by the application of the difference operator Δ with respect to the differential operator D .

3.2.3 Pattern recognition


The factorial of even numbers can be generated through a polynomial function with respect to the coefficients of Pascal's triangle in a triangular array.

The powers of the variable of the polynomial functions are distributed with respect to the Even and Odd positive integers.

→ **Pattern** with respect to Pascal's coefficient for some $P \geq 1$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 j^2 + j \\
 j^4 + 2j^3 + j^2 \\
 j^6 + 3j^5 + 3j^4 + j^3 \\
 j^8 + 4j^7 + 6j^6 + 4j^5 + j^4 \\
 j^{10} + 5j^9 + 10j^8 + 10j^7 + 5j^6 + j^5 \\
 j^{12} + 6j^{11} + 15j^{10} + 20j^9 + 15j^8 + 6j^7 + j^6 \\
 j^{14} + 7j^{13} + 21j^{12} + 35j^{11} + 35j^{10} + 21j^9 + 7j^8 + j^7 \\
 j^{16} + 8j^{15} + 24j^{14} + 56j^{13} + 70j^{12} + 56j^{11} + 28j^{10} + 8j^9 + j^8
 \end{array}$$

→ **Pattern** of powers of variable



POWERS OF POLYNOMIAL with respect to PASCAL'S TRIANGLE:

Observe that the power of the first term, that is the highest power of each polynomial is equal to $K = 2p$ and the least power of the polynomial is equal to P .

$$\Rightarrow \Delta^k \left[\binom{P}{j} \binom{P}{j+1} \right] = k!, \quad \text{such that } k = 2p, p \geq 1$$

Universality of Mathematics: Pascal's triangle, credited to French mathematician Blaise Pascal (1623-1662), appeared in a Chinese document printed in 1303. The Binomial Theorem was known in Eastern Cultures prior to its discovery in Europe. The same mathematics is often discovered or invented by independent researchers separated by time, place and culture. Robert [13]

Finally, let $p + 1 = q + 1$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta^{2(q+1)} \left[\binom{q+1}{j} \binom{q+1}{j+1} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \Delta^{2(q+1)} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{q+1} \binom{q+1}{i} j^{2(q+1)-i} \right] \\
 &= \Delta^{2q+2} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{q+1} \binom{q+1}{i} j^{2q+2-i} \right] \\
 &\Rightarrow \Delta^{2q} \left[\Delta^2 \sum_{i=0}^{q+1} \binom{q+1}{i} j^{2q+2-i} \right] \\
 \text{Let } q &= 1 \\
 &\Rightarrow \Delta^{2q} \left[\Delta^2 \sum_{i=0}^2 \binom{2}{i} j^{4-i} \right] = \Delta^2(12j^2 + 12j + 2) \\
 &= \Delta[\Delta(12j^2 + 12j + 2)] = \Delta(24j + 12) \\
 &= \Delta(24j + 12) = 24 = 4! = 2(2!).
 \end{aligned}$$

3.3 Corollary

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta^{2k} [(j^k)(j+1)^k] &= \Delta^{2k} \left[\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} j^{2k-i} \right] \\
 &= [2(k)]!
 \end{aligned}$$

For every positive integer J and k = 0 such that i ≥ 0.

In words, for any positive integer k, the 2kth order difference of the product of the kth power of any positive integers is equal to (2kth)! [14-16].

The implication of (b) in theorem 3.2 is the following: if any number of set of consecutive integers are raised to a positive integral power K, then the 2kth difference of the product of any two consecutive elements of the set is equal to (2k)!

In their contribution, Ladan, Ukwu and Apine investigated difference of integral perfect powers and obtain in particular.

$\Delta^k(j^k) = k!$ for any integer j and for any positive integer k. Theoretically, for any positive integer k, the kth order difference of the kth power of any integer is equal to k! [17,18]

4 Conclusion

This article established the structures of finite difference orders with respect product of powers of consecutive integers. In particular, the result portrays a startling similarity between the difference orders and the D (differential) operator powers of polynomials with positive integral powers. The limitation of work of Ladan, Ukwu and Apine was restricted at the coefficient of k! in the kth difference operator to be equal to one (1). This article investigated in deft, the existence of a constant even coefficient (2)! for all k belonging to positive integers. The computation of the difference of product of powers of consecutive integers was programmed using Java Programming language. The result of the application of the algorithm in a computer system was able to capture the case of k = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10}. The result was not able to capture the case k ≥ 11, which is the constraints restriction due to memory capacity of Java Programming language, on the result of the computation of $\Delta^{2k} [(j^k)(j+1)^k] = [2(k)]!$

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Chase PE. The elements of arithmetic in which decimal and integral arithmetic are combined and taught inductively. U. Hunt and Son; 1844.
- [2] Finite difference: 2015. Retrieved October 20, 2015.
Available: http://www.en.wikipedia.org/wiki/finite_difference
- [3] Cambridge tracts in Mathematics 87. Exponential Diophantine equations. Cambridge University Press 1988;169-183.
- [4] Shorey TN, Tijdeman R. Perfect powers in products of terms in an arithmetical progression, *Compositio Mathematica*. 1990;75(3):307-344.
Available:http://www.numdam.org/item/cm_1990_75_3_307_0/
- [5] Shorey TN. Perfect powers in values of certain polynomials at integer points. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 1986;99:195-207.
- [6] Shorey TN. Some exponential diophantine equations, *New advances in Transcendence Theory*, ed by A. Baker. Cambridge University Press. 1988;352-365.
- [7] Tarlok Shorey. Exponential Diophantine Equations involving product of consecutive integers and related Equations. In book: *Number Theory*. Institute of technology Bombay. 2000; 463-495.
DOI.10.1007/978-93-86279-02. 6-22.
- [8] Shorey TN, Tijdeman R. On the greatest prime factor of an arithmetic progression, *A Tribute to Paul Erdos*; Cambridge Univ. Press; to appear .
- [9] Shorey TN, Perfect powers in product of integers from a block of consecutive integers, *Acta Arith.* 1987; 49:71-79.
- [10] Tijdeman R. Application of gelfond-Baker method in rational number theory, coll, *math. Jaños. Bolyai*, 13, *Topics in number theory (Debrecen, 1974)* North-Holand, Amsterdam. 1976;399-416.
- [11] Tarlok Shorey and Robert Tijdeman; Perfect powers in products of terms in arithmetic progression III. January 1992. *Compositio Mathematica* 82(2) DOI.10-1090/conm/210.
- [12] Ladan Umaru Ibrahim, Ukwu Chukwunenye and Apine Elijah. An investigation into the order of integral perfect powers. Ibrahim et al. *AJOMCOR*. 10(1): 12:18:2016.
- [13] Robert Blitzer *College Algebra Second Edition*. Miami-Dade Community College. *College algebra/Robert Blitzer*; 2nd ed. p.cm ISBN 0-13-08728-6, 1 *Algebra I*. Tittle QA15.2.B584 2001.
- [14] Mc Graw Hill, *Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms*. 6E Perfect Power. The Mc Graw H. Companies Inc, 2003. Retrieved November 23, 2015.
Available www.encyclopedia.com, the free dictionary/perfect+power.
- [15] Andreescu T. Andrica D. *Number theory*. Birkhauser Boston, a part of Springer Science + Business Media, LLC; 2009.
DOI: 10.1007/b//856-2.
- [16] Perfect Powers: 2015 Retrieved November 23, 2015.
Available: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/perfect-power>

- [17] Perfect Powers of Successive Naturals. Can you always reach a constant difference? 2015. Retrieved 23, 2015.
Available: <http://mathstachexchange.com/question/1345205/perfect-powers-of-successive-naturals-can-you-always-reach-a-constant-difference>.
- [18] Catalan's Conjecture: 2002, Retrieved November 23, 2015. Retrieved November 23, 2015.
Available: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/catalan%27sconjecture>

© 2023 Ibrahim et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/109552>