



Genetic Estimations of Available Quality Traits for Improved Growth Yield of Pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch) in Kumarganj, India

**Anjali^{a++*}, G. C. Yadav^{b#}, C. R. Harshitha^{a++},
Abinash Kumar Patel^{a++} and Abhishek Gautam^{a++}**

^a Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh-224229, India.

^b Department of Horticulture Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University (A central University), Lucknow 226025 (UP), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2024/v14i23920

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111204>

Original Research Article

Received: 04/11/2023

Accepted: 10/01/2024

Published: 31/01/2024

ABSTRACT

Pumpkin is one of the most economically important and nutritious member of the family Cucurbitaceae. The present investigation was conducted to evaluate genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in per cent of mean among the 30 genotypes including one check variety

⁺⁺PG Student;

[#]Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: anjalisrivastava006@gmail.com;

(Narendra Agrim) for 21 qualitative and quantitative traits. The experiment was conducted in randomized block design with three replication, the observations were recorded on the traits like days to 50% staminate flower anthesis, days to 50% pistillate flower anthesis, node no. to first staminate flower anthesis, node no. to first pistillate flower anthesis, vine length, no. of nodes, no. of primary branches, inter-nodal length, days to first fruit harvest, polar circumference, equatorial circumference, flesh thickness, cavity, average fruit weight, no. of fruits per plant, total soluble solids, reducing sugars, non reducing sugars, total sugars, fruit yield per plant. The analysis of variance for the design of experiments indicated highly significant difference among the genotypes for all 21 characters. The four genotypes viz. NDPK-17-12-1, NDPK-23, NDPK-P-6 and NDPK-32-1-2 were found significantly superior for fruit yield per plant over the check variety (Narendra Agrim). The PCV was higher than the GCV and was found highest for the trait fruit yield per plant, the presence of high heritability in broad sense along with high genetic advance in per cent of mean were observed for fruit yield per plant. Therefore, from the above obtained results it can be concluded that the characters will result in effective crop improvement for higher yield and yield contributing traits.

Keywords: Pumpkin (*Cucurbitamoschata*); variability; GCV; PCV; heritability and genetic advance.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Pumpkin (*Cucurbitamoschata* Duch. ex Poir) is a member of family cucurbitaceae, order cucurbitales and genus *Cucurbita*. It is diploid species with a chromosome no. $2n=2x=40$ ". Armesto et. al., [1] "Pumpkin is one of the most popular summer vegetables grown all over India on a commercial scale because of high carotene, lutein content and good keeping quality, it is considered as a vegetable of immense value. There are 27 species under the genus *Cucurbita*, five of which are in cultivation. These are *C. moschata*, *C. maxima*, *C. ficifolia*, *C. pepo* and *C. mixta*, commonly known as pumpkin. *C. moschata* is probably the most widely grown species of *Cucurbita* and this species is cross compatible with *C. maxima*, *C. pepo* and *C. mixta*" [2]. "In India, it is grown in states like Odisha, West Bengal, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Kerala, and Bihar. Pumpkin is grown in an area of 106.29 thousand hectares with annual production 2,218.40 thousand tonnes" [3]. "Heritability in a general sense, is the ratio of variation due to differences between genotypes to the total phenotypic variation for a character or trait in a population more is the variation that is heritable among the species it is better suited for different environment or has higher adaptability, heritability and genetic advance will help in determining the influence of environment for different expression of characters and this will extent the potential for selection of parents for hybridization, the adaptability could also be judged well through, genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation, and degree of association" [4].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material comprised of 30 genotypes of pumpkin including standard check viz, Narendra Agrim. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design with three replications at Main Experiment Station of Department of Vegetable Science at Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P) during Zaid (2022), having plot size of 3x3 m accommodating 6 plants per plot with row to-row spacing of 3 m and plant-to-plant spacing of 0.50 m. Observations were recorded for 21 qualitative traits including and quantity traits like days to 50% staminate flower anthesis, days to 50% pistillate flower anthesis, node no. to first staminate flower anthesis, node no. to first pistillate flower anthesis, vine length, no. of nodes, no. of primary branches, internodal length, days to first fruit harvest, polar circumference, equatorial circumference, flesh thickness, cavity, average fruit weight, no. of fruits per plant, total soluble solids, reducing sugars, non reducing sugars, total sugars, fruit yield per plant. The data on twenty one quantitative characters are recorded on five competitive and randomly selected plants of each genotype and in each replication. "For studying different genetic parameters and inter-relationships of twenty one qualitative and quantitative characters. The genotypic coefficients of variability and phenotypic coefficients of variability (GCV% and PCV%) were designed as per procedure suggested by" Burton [5]. Heritability was considered using the guidance given by Singh and Choudhary, [6]. Genetic advance as a percentage of mean was calculated by the procedure given by Johnson et al., [7].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Coefficient of variation is the genetic variability serves as the foundation for vegetable breeding, acting as a breeding ground for superior genotypes. Therefore, there is more potential for a character's development through selection, if there is more variance for that character in the breeding materials. For the purpose of evaluating the level of variability in the germplasm, the genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were computed.

3.1 Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (PCV)

"The estimates of coefficients of variation revealed that magnitude of phenotypic coefficients of variations (PCV) were higher than the genotypic coefficient, of variation (GCV) for all the characters indicating important role of environment. The phenotypic coefficient of variation ranged from 5.18 per cent (non reducing sugars) to 33.71 per cent (fruit yield per plant) for the various characters studied" [8] (Table 1).

Highest phenotypic coefficient of variation was recorded for the character fruit yield per plant (33.71) per cent followed by number of fruit per plant (31.99) per cent while, moderate to low values of PCV were observed for characters, no. of fruits (27.68), no. of primary branches (22.12), average fruit weight (18.47), vine length (18.47), cavity (15.33), flesh thickness (14.78), node no. to first staminate flower anthesis (14.04), inter-nodal length (10.78), fruit polar circumference (10.75), node no. to first pistillate flower (10.59), days to first fruit harvest (7.08), no. of nodes per plant (13.34), total soluble solids (11.16), reducing sugars (9.45), days to 50% staminate flower anthesis (6.00), days to 50% pistillate flower anthesis (5.43), total sugars (5.29), non reducing sugars (5.18). The high estimates of PCV and GCV for these characters were also reported earlier [9]. However, the existence of low value of GCV and PCV for remaining traits showed less response under selection [10].

3.2 Genotypic Coefficient Variation (GCV)

It is revealed in Table 1. That, the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) ranged from 2.48 per cent (days to 50% pistillate flower anthesis) to 32.40 per cent (fruit yield per plant), whereas, genotypic coefficient of variation was lower for

the characters like no. of nodes (9.66), polar circumference (9.51), inter-nodal length (9.36), equatorial circumference (8.74), reducing sugars (8.01), node no. to first pistillate flower anthesis (7.03), total soluble solids (6.98), days to first fruit harvest (4.64), total sugars (3.36), days to 50% staminate flower anthesis (3.23), non reducing sugars (3.02), days to 50% pistillate flower anthesis (2.48) similar findings were found by Singh et al. [11].

3.3 Heritability and Genetic Advance

"Estimates of heritability and expected genetic advance for different characters are presented in Table 1. The broad sense heritability ranged from lowest heritability 21.0 per cent found in case of days to 50% pistillate flower anthesis to 92.4 per cent for fruit yield per plant. Result revealed that the high heritability (>50%) estimates in broad sense were of lower magnitude except the character fruit yield per plant (92.4%), number of fruits per plant (90.40%), total number of fruits (87.50%), no. of primary branches (86.70%), average fruit weight (79.5%), fruit polar circumference (78.20%), vine length (77.9%), internodal length (75.5%), fruit equatorial circumference (73.0%), reducing sugars (72.00%). The moderate heritability characters were cavity (67.8%), flesh thickness (65.5%), node number at first pistillate flower anthesis (57.90%) and no. of nodes (52.5%). Among the twenty one characters five characters recorded lower heritability (<50%) namely node no. to first pistillate flower anthesis (44.6%), total sugars (40.3%), non reducing sugars (34.0%), days to 50% staminate flower anthesis (29.1%) and days to 50% pistillate flower anthesis (21.0%). The highest value of genetic advance in per cent of mean was shown by fruit yield per plant (64.15), while days to 50% pistillate flower anthesis had lowest value (2.34) for this parameter. The characters showing very high estimate of G_a were fruit equatorial circumference (8.90%) followed by polar circumference (8.87%) and no. of fruits (7.79%). The moderate estimate for genetic advance resulted in case of days to first fruit harvest (3.48), internodal length (3.35) and no. of nodes per plant (4.97) while the low estimate was observed for the fruit equatorial circumference (9.92), node number at first staminate flower anthesis (9.03), days to first staminate flower anthesis (7.68), days to first pistillate flower anthesis (3.78) and days to first fruit harvest (3.46)" [9]. Similar findings were also marked by earlier scientist in pumpkin [12-15].

Table 1. Estimates of range, variability, heritability and expected genetic advance in percent of mean for the twenty one characters in pumpkin germplasm

Sl. No.	Characters	Range		Variability			Heritability in broad sense (%)	Genetic advance	Expected Ga as % of mean
		Min.	Max.	PCV%	GCV%	ECV%			
1.	Days to 50% staminate flower anthesis	36.67	43.00	6.00	3.23	5.05	29.1	1.44	3.59
2.	Days to 50% pistillate flower anthesis	38.67	45.00	5.43	2.48	4.83	21.0	0.98	2.34
3.	Node no. to first staminate flower anthesis	4.13	6.87	14.04	10.89	8.95	59.7	0.87	17.34
4.	Node no. to first pistillate flower anthesis	16.60	22.88	10.59	7.03	7.91	44.1	1.85	9.63
5.	Vine length	3.65	9.51	18.47	16.30	8.68	77.9	2.05	29.65
6.	No. of nodes per plant	25.33	44.78	13.34	9.66	9.19	52.5	4.97	14.43
7.	No. of primary branches	4.32	9.28	22.12	20.59	8.07	86.7	2.59	39.50
8.	Internodal length	13.79	22.08	10.78	9.36	5.33	75.5	3.35	16.77
9.	Days to first fruit harvest	49.00	60.00	7.08	4.64	5.34	43.1	3.48	6.28
10.	Fruit Polar circumference	42.73	64.78	10.75	9.51	5.02	78.2	8.87	17.33
11.	Fruit equatorial circumference	48.95	71.94	10.24	8.74	5.32	73.0	8.90	15.38
12.	Flesh thickness	2.90	4.80	14.78	11.96	8.67	65.5	0.73	19.95
13.	Cavity	8.90	17.71	15.33	12.66	8.73	67.8	2.88	21.48
14.	Average fruit weight	0.89	1.77	18.97	16.91	8.59	79.5	0.43	31.05
15.	Total no. of fruits	2.00	22.00	27.68	25.88	9.80	87.5	7.79	49.87
16.	Number of fruit per plant	1.00	5.13	31.99	30.42	9.91	90.4	1.76	59.58
17.	Total soluble solids	3.97	5.73	11.16	6.98	8.70	39.1	0.44	8.99
18.	Reducing sugars	5.63	7.43	9.45	8.01	5.00	72.0	0.93	14.01
19.	Non reducing sugars	46.57	53.97	5.18	3.02	4.21	34.0	1.83	3.63
20.	Total sugars	52.23	60.84	5.29	3.36	4.09	40.3	2.50	4.39
21.	Fruit yield per plant	1.38	6.65	33.71	32.40	9.30	92.4	2.35	64.15

4. CONCLUSION

The characters which showed high estimates of heritability and genetic advance provide a broad way for the genetic improvement in genotypes for specific characters. Thus, there exists ample scope for improvement in available germplasm to develop new improved varieties of pumpkin in future.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Armesto J, Rocchetti G, Senizza B, Pateiro M, Barba FJ, Domínguez R, Lucini L, Lorenzo JM. Nutritional characterization of (*Cucurbitamoschata* D.) Effect of variety (Ariel vs. Pluto) and farming type (conventional vs. organic). *FoodRes. Int.* 2020;132:109052.
2. Ahmad G, Khan AA.. Pumpkin: Horticultural importance and its roles in various forms; a review. *Int. J. Hortic. Agric.* 2019;4:1-6.
3. Annual report 2021-22, Department of Agriculture, cooperation and farmers' welfare ministry of agriculture and farmers' Welfare Government of India KrishiBhawan, New Delhi-110 001.
4. Ramjan MD. Characterization of pumpkin (*Cucurbitamoschata*Duch. Ex. Poir.) germplasm through genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding.* 2021;12(1):91-96.
5. Burton GW. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. *Proc.6thIntern.Grassld. Cong.J.*, 1952;1:277-283.
6. Singh RK, Chaudhary BD. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi. 1985;318.
7. Johnson WL. 1955. Elements of quantitative inheritance. *Jenal*
8. Aniket Kumar Verma, Neetu, Brijesh Kumar Maurya and VB Singh. Studies of genetic variability and heritability for fruit yield and its component traits in pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch. ex. Poir). *The Pharma Innovation Journal.* 2022; 11(6):519-521.
9. Kumar V, Mishra DP, Yadav GC, Dwivedi DK. Genetic diversity assessment for morphological, yield and biochemical traits in genotypes of pumpkin. *J. Pharmacogn and Phytochem.* 2017;6(3):14-18.
10. Mohsin GM, Islam MS, Rahman MS, Ali L, Hasanuzzaman M. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficients of yield and its components analysis in pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch ex poir) *Int. J. Agril. Res. Innov. Tech.* 2017;7(1):8-13.
11. Singh MK, Singh VB, Yadav GC, Kumar P. Study on variability, heritability (narrow sense) and genetic advance analysis for growth, yield and quality traits in pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch. ex. poir). *J. Pharma, Phytoche.* 2019;8(3):3621-3624.
12. Pandey S, Singh J, Upadhyay AK, Ram D. Genetic variability for antioxidants and yield components in pumpkin. *Veg.Sci.* 2002;29:123-126.
13. Chaudhari DJ, Acharya RR, Patel JN, Gohil SB, Bhalala KC. Variability, correlation and path analysis in pumpkin (*Cucurbitamoschata*Duch. ex. Poir). *J. of Pharma. And Phyto.* 2017;6(6):142-145.
14. Thamburaj S, Singh N. *Vegetables, Tuber crops and Spices.* ICAR, New Delhi; 2019.
15. Verma AK, Neetu BKM, Singh VB. Studies of genetic variability and heritability for fruit yield and its component traits in pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch. ex. Poir). *Pharma Innov. J.* 2022;11(6):519-521.

© 2024 Anjali et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111204>