

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 18, Page 1090-1102, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.103093 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Profile Characteristics of Paddy Farmers in Telangana State, India

## Akula Latha Rani<sup>a\*</sup>, V. Ravinder Naik<sup>a++</sup>, M. Jagan Mohan Reddy<sup>b#</sup>, G. E. CH. Vidya Sagar<sup>c++</sup> and D. Srinivasachary<sup>d†</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agricultural Extension, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500030, India.

<sup>b</sup> Extension Education Institute, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500030, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500030, India. <sup>d</sup> Department of Statistics and Mathematics, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500030, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i183375

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103093</u>

> Received: 17/05/2023 Accepted: 18/07/2023 Published: 27/07/2023

**Original Research Article** 

## ABSTRACT

Agriculture caused significant harm to the environment for a long time. More acreage, fertiliser and pesticides were used to boost the output. Sustainable development is one that meets present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own. According to study, a number of factors can affect a farmer's decision to adopt sustainable practises. The research investigation employed Ex-post-facto-research design as the event has already happened. The present study aimed to assess the profile characteristics of farmers on Paddy farming sustainability and adoption of recommended sustainable farming practices comprising the data from three

<sup>++</sup> Professor;

<sup>#</sup> Director;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Associate Professor;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: akula.latha7744@gmail.com;

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 18, pp. 1090-1102, 2023

different zones of the Telangana state. Three districts namely Nizamabad, Khammam and Nalgonda from each zone of Telangana state were selected for the purpose respectively during the year 2021. As these three districts account for Paddy area compared to other districts. Purposive sampling technique was employed for data collection from 216 Paddy growing farmers by standardized and pre-tested interview schedule. Findings revealed that majority of the farmers were middle-aged (54.62 %), educated up to primary school (25.19 %), semi-medium in land holdings (25.32 %), followed by possessed medium level of income (55.56 %), mass media exposure (73.14 %), extension contact (56.10 %), extension participation (73.60 %), management orientation (71.75 %), scientific orientation (58.10 %), innovativeness (81.00 %), economic motivation (67.50 %), decision-making pattern (62.51 %), value orientation (52.79 %), level of aspiration (64.35 %) and risk orientation (53.20 %), whereas high level of farming experience (60.18 %), high farming commitment (54.62 %) and high achievement motivation (51.86 %). The policymakers should instruct researchers, extension specialists, NGO's and field workers to serve as advisors, facilitators and collaborators for inspiring and empowering farmers to actively participate in solving issues related to sustainable farming cultivation practises. Hence, these variables have to be considered in promoting farmers among the farming community in the long run.

Keywords: Adoption; paddy farmers; profile characteristics; paddy farming sustainability; recommended sustainable farming practices.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Food Agricultural Organization (FAO) definition of sustainable agriculture, is "the management and conservation of natural resource base and orientation of technological and institutional change to ensure the attainment and continued satisfaction of human needs for present and future generations" [1]. There are 51 million more people who consume rice each year in the Asia-Pacific Region, which is home to more than 56 % of the world's population. It is uncertain whether the current 524 million tonnes of rice produced annually would increase to 700 million tonnes by 2025 while utilising less water, less chemicals, less people, and less land. The Department of Agriculture US assessed worldwide rice availability in the 2019-20 marketing season at 67.10 million tonnes in its November report. In 2013-14, India ranked first in rice area (43.9 million hectares) and second in rice production (106.5 million tonnes) [2]. Taking all of this into account, annual production must be increased from 586 to 756 million metric tonnes by 2030. Since the previous two decades, various countries have recognised its significance and adjusted their trade policies, increased area under high yielding varieties and developed technologies to overcome the challenges that countries face in paddy production. Without a doubt, each of these characteristics considerably increases a nation's potential to expand Paddy output. These challenges are all made more difficult by climate change, international competition and rapidly evolving technologies. The world population will

reach over nine billion people by 2050, posing a threat to ecological services and food production that depend on good soils. As climate change putting soils in first priority at the global agenda. With this, National Mission for Sustainable Agriculture (NMSA), a component of the National Action Plan on Climate Change (NAPCC), was implemented for the first time during the 11th plan. Additionally. the Rainfed Areas Development Programme (RADP) and the National Project on Management of Soil Health and Fertility were introduced. For sustainable agriculture, it is advised that conservation agriculture, integrated nutrient management, carbon sequestration, erosion control, saline and alkaline soil management, legislation for soil protection, development of remote sensing and GPS-based Decision Support System (DSS) and amelioration of polluted soil be used.

The recommended agronomic sustainable practises such as efficient crop and soil management, improved inputs, land levelling, and biomass removal were also included. The sustainable practises that are currently available include direct seeding, alternate wetting and drying (water smart), alleyways (pest and disease smart), and climate resilient technologies (climate smart). A project led by Core CarbonX (CCX) and Vida Carbon Corp aims to help Telangana's paddy farmer community implement improved water management practises across 100,000 hectares of rice fields. Farmers in Telangana are not financially rewarded for conserving either water or energy because they are both heavily subsidised. By training farmers about "alternative wetting and drvina" techniques, CCX hopes to solve this problem. In this farming method, a gauge is utilised to show how much water is present in different parts of the field. With the use of this technology, farmers can precisely manage the water flow to their crops. Conducted a study among the rural youth of rainfed and irrigated tracts and in irrigated tract majority of them (69.99 %) had medium level of aspiration whereas, 8.33 per cent and 21.66 per cent of them had low and high level of aspiration [3]. In irrigated region with regards to pooled situation, 36.67 per cent had low value orientation, followed by medium (32.86 %) and high (30.47 %) [4]. Large majority (70.00 %) of them had medium management orientation while 19.00 and 11.00 per cents of them were having management orientation, low and high respectively [5]. Among irrigated farming system, more than one third (40.00 %) of them had high farming commitment whereas 35.00 Per cent and 25.00 Per cent of them had medium and low farming commitment [6]. Two third of them (66.67 %) had medium decision-making, whereas 17.78 and 15.55 per cent of them were having high and low decision-making, respectively [7]. Little less than half (45.56 %) of them had medium extension participation, while 28.89 per cent of them had low extension participation and 25.55 per cent of them had high extension participation [7]. Little less than half 45.83 per cent of them were in high achievement motivation followed by low achievement (36.67 %) and 17.50 per cent of them were in medium achievement motivation [8]. Nearly 64.00 per cent of them had medium scientific orientation, followed by (22.67 %) and (13.33 %) were having low and high scientific orientation, respectively [9]. More than three fifth (62.50 %) of them were in high farming experience followed by medium (27.50 %) and low farming experience (10.00 %), respectively [10]. Slightly more than three fifth 60.00 per cent of the farmers were having medium economic motivation while 24.67 and 16.00 per cent of them had low and high economic motivation, respectively [9]. Half of the farmers (82.50 %) adopted different farming systems had medium innovativeness while 12.50 per cent of them had low and only 05.00 per cent of them had innovativeness [10]. More than three fifth (60.83 %) of them had medium mass media exposure whereas 27.50 per cent had low and 11.67 per cent had high mass media exposure [11]. Slightly more than three fifth (60.83 %) of them had medium extension contact followed by low (21.67 %) and high (17.50 %) extension contact, respectively [12]. Half of (79.16 %) of them were in medium annual income followed by 11.66 per cent of them had high annual income and 09.16 per cent them had low annual income [13]. Half of the farmers (84.17 %) had medium annual income while 10.00 per cent of them had high annual income and only few (05.83 %) of them were in low annual income [12]. Less than one third (27.50 %) of them were having marginal land holding, followed by small (25.83 %), semi medium (21.66 %), medium (20.00 %) and 05.00 per cent of them hold big land holding [13]. Nearly two fifth (33.33 %) of them were small farmers while 27.50 per cent of them were medium farmers and 14.17 per cent of them comes under marginal farmers whereas; 20.00 per cent of them found under semi medium farmers. Only 05.00 per cent of them distributed under big farmers [12]. Two third (62.50 %) of them were having middle age, followed by old age 19.16 per cent and young age 18.34 per cent [13]. Two third (66.67 %) of them were having medium risk orientation whereas; 28.33 per cent and 05.00 per cent were having low and high level, respectively [12]. Two third (66.40 %) of them were in middle age, whereas 17.20 per cent and 16.40 per cent of them had young and old age, respectively [14].

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research investigation employed Ex-postfacto-research design as the event has already happened. The present study aimed to assess profile characteristics of Paddy farmers on Paddy farming sustainability and delineate the adoption of recommended sustainable farming practices from three different zones of the Telangana districts namely Nizamabad, state. Three Khammam and Nalgonda from each zone of Telangana state were selected for the purpose respectively during the year 2021 as these three districts accounted for more Paddy area compared to other districts. Purposive sampling technique was employed for data collection from 216 Paddy growing farmers by standardized and pre-tested interview schedule. Likewise, two blocks from each district were selected based on Paddy area which constitutes a total of six blocks. From each block, three villages were selected by using simple random technique comprising 18 villages. 12 farmers from each village were selected by using simple random sampling technique. So, 72 respondents were selected from each district. Thus, the total sample constituted for the investigation was 216 farmers who were the respondents of the investigation. For the present study, Paddy

farming sustainability was operationalised as the extent to which a farmer gets sustainable and higher yield of rice over the years by depending majorly on on-farm inputs and by adopting proper soil, water and crop management practices which are eco-friendly and economically rewarding to farmers. Adoption is not an instant decision. An individual passes through several mental stages in the course of adoption of an idea, practice or object. Adoption was operationalized as practising the recommended practices by the farmers as per recommendations. Both descriptive and inferential statistics were utilized such as class interval, Per cent and frequency were employed.

#### 2.1 Data on Rice Producing Countries around the World

Country	2020-2021	2021-2022*	Change over 2020-2021
China	148.30	149.00	0.70
India*	122.00*	121.00*	-1.00*
Indonesia	35.20	35.30	0.10
Vietnam	27.10	26.90	-0.20
Thailand	18.83	19.50	0.67
Burma	12.60	12.80	0.20
Philippines	12.40	12.30	-0.10
Japan	7.57	7.58	0.01
Pakistan	8.18	8.20	0.02
Brazil	7.90	7.82	-0.08
USA	7.23	6.46	-0.77
Nigeria	4.89	5.00	0.11
Egypt	4.00	4.00	0.00
South Korea	3.51	3.77	0.26
European Union	1.96	1.99	0.00

Chart 1. Major rice producing countries in the world (milled production in million tonnes)

Source:www.usda.gov

Chart 2. S	State wise	area under	paddy	in India
------------	------------	------------	-------	----------

		2019-202	0		2020-2021	
State	Lakh ha.	Lakh	% to total	Lakh ha.	Lakhacres	% to total
		acres	area			area
Telangana*	7.33	18.11	24.27	11.31	27.95	32.11
Tamil Nadu	10.42	25.75	34.50	10.51	25.97	29.83
Andhra Pradesh	6.74	16.65	22.31	6.82	16.85	19.36
West Bengal	2.10	5.19	6.95	2.52	6.23	7.15
Assam	1.33	3.29	4.40	1.43	3.53	4.06
Odisha	0.69	1.71	2.28	1.00	2.47	2.84
Chhattisgarh	0.54	1.32	1.77	0.84	2.08	2.39
Kerala	0.67	1.66	2.22	0.78	1.93	2.21
Other	0.39	0.96	1.29	0.02	0.04	0.05
Total	30.21	74.64	100.00	35.23	87.05	100.00

Agricultural Market Intelligence Centre. Paddy Outlook in July, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, 2021

	Chart 3. Year wise area of	paddy in Telangana state (t	total kharif and rabi 2020-2021)
--	----------------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------------

Years	Area (acres)	Yield (Kgs/acre)	Production (tonnes)
2014-2015	34,97,571	1,949	68,17,273
2015-2016	25,85,170	1,768	45,70,677
2016-2017	45,18,519	2,191	98,98,243
2017-2018	48,49,121	1,937	93,94,768
2018-2019	47,73,519	2,096	1,00,02,947
2019-2020	79,47,403	2,243	1,78,26,799
2020-2021*	1,04,23,177*	2,096	2,18,51,471
	Years 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2017-2018 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021*	YearsArea (acres)2014-201534,97,5712015-201625,85,1702016-201745,18,5192017-201848,49,1212018-201947,73,5192019-202079,47,4032020-2021*1,04,23,177*	YearsArea (acres)Yield (Kgs/acre)2014-201534,97,5711,9492015-201625,85,1701,7682016-201745,18,5192,1912017-201848,49,1211,9372018-201947,73,5192,0962019-202079,47,4032,2432020-2021*1,04,23,177*2,096

Source: Directorate of Economics & Statistics and Telangana State Statistical Abstract, 2021

Rani et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 18, pp. 1090-1102, 2023; Article no. IJPSS. 103093

S. No	Rice Vanakalam	All India	Telangana
1.	Area ('000 Hectares) *	39,013.0	1,096.0*
2.	Production('000 Tonnes)	1,02,276.5	4,021.2
3.	Yield (Kg./Hectare)	2,621.6	3,669.0
		and Talanana Olala Olalia	Al (

### Chart 4. Area of rice in Telangana state (vanakalam (kharif) 2019-2020)

Source: www.eands.dacnet.nic.in, DES, GOI and Telangana State Statistical Abstract, 2021

#### Chart 5. Area of rice in Telangana state (yasangi (rabi) 2019-2020)

S. No	Rice Yasangi	All India	Telangana
1.	Area ('000 Hectares) *	4,649.3	915.0*
2.	Production('000 Tonnes)	16,593.8	3,406.5
3.	Yield (Kg./Hectare)	3,569.1	3,723.0
	Source: www.eende deepet nie in DES	COL and Talangana Stata Statistical	Abotroot 2021

Source: www.eands.dacnet.nic.in, DES, GOI and Telangana State Statistical Abstract, 2021

#### Chart 6. Area of rice in Telangana state (total kharif and rabi 2019-2020)

S. No	Rice Total	All India	Telangana		
1.	Area ('000 Hectares) *	43,662.3	2,011.0*		
2.	Production('000 Tonnes)	1,18,870.3	7,427.8		
3.	Yield (Kg./Hectare)	2,722.5	3,693.6		
	Source: www.eands.dacnet.nic.in, DES, GOI and Telangana State Statistical Abstract, 2021				

## Chart 7. Area of paddy in all the districts of three zones in Telangana state (total kharif and rabi 2020-21)

S.	Agro-climatic	Districts	Area (Acres) *	Yield	Production
No	zones			(Kgs/acre)	(Tonnes)
1.	Northern	Nizamabad*	7,70,573	2,359	18,17,467
	Telangana Zone	Jagtial	5,79,590	2,275	13,18,607
		Karimnagar	5,17,472	2,235	11,56,784
		Kamareddy	4,93,331	2,313	11,41,069
		Peddapalli	4,02,682	2,112	8,50,507
		Rajanna Sircilla	3,15,976	2,158	6,81,931
		Mancherial	2,74,133	1,835	5,03,009
		Nirmal	2,01,325	2,076	4,17,977
		Kumuram Bheem	71,568	1,790	1,28,082
		Adilabad	2,613	1,879	4,909
2.	Central Telangana	Khammam*	5,05,520	2,004	10,13,087
	Zone	Siddipet	5,01,545	2,315	11,60,864
		Medak	4,06,340	2,025	8,22,769
		Mahabubabad	3,15,853	1,914	6,04,587
		Jangaon	3,09,101	2,011	6,21,560
		Warangal Rural	2,45,079	2,070	5,07,395
		Warangal Urban	2,02,625	2,005	4,06,288
		Bhadradri Kothagudem	1,85,362	1,977	3,66,441
		Jayashankar	1,80,378	1,946	3,50,940
		Sangareddy	1,59,691	2,027	3,23,645
		Mulugu	1,54,199	1,840	2,83,673
3.	Southern	Nalgonda*	8,54,871	2,141	18,30,370
	Telangana Zone	Suryapet	8,33,249	2,133	17,77,598
		Yadadri Bhuvanagiri	4,50,480	1,969	8,86,800
		Wanaparthy	3,23,827	1,912	6,19,192
		Nagarkurnool	2,46,229	1,875	4,61,576
		Mahabubnagar	2,35,263	2,029	4,77,256
		Narayanpet	1,99,658	1,962	3,91,673

Rani et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 18, pp. 1090-1102, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.103093

S. No	Agro-climatic zones	Districts	Area (Acres) *	Yield (Kgs/acre)	Production (Tonnes)
		Rangareddy	1,70,669	2,075	3,54,210
		Vikarabad	1,45,254	1,796	2,60,864
		Jogulamba Gadwal	1,39,131	1,800	2,50,461
		Medchal-Malkajgiri	29,590	2,024	59,880
-		Osumas, Talamana Olala Ol	- College Alege and OOO		

Source: Telangana State Statistical Abstract, 2021

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results were explained along with the inferences drawn to the objectives set forth for the investigation.

## 3.1 Personal Characteristics of Paddy Farmers

#### 3.1.1 Age

Table 1 revealed that, slightly more than half of the respondents (54.62 %) were falling under middle age category, while a little over one fourth 24.53 per cent and the remaining 20.85 per cent belonged to old age and young age group, respectively. India is having half of its population as youth and rural farmers are little above youth in age due to lesser preference to have agriculture as their occupation. Thus, it indicates that they possess the maturity and motivation to take on any obstacle in order to improve their family's situation, while also taking the best possible action and having fairly good life experiences and making farming decisions. Furthermore, farmers in their middle age tend to be more efficient, sensitive and family-oriented. Additionally, they could be motivated and involved at farm work. The findings were in agreement with the results of studies conducted by Parmar [15], Ghosh et al. [16], Deshmukh et al. [13] and Meshram et al. [14].

#### 3.1.2 Education

It could be observed from Table 1 that, a little over one fourth of the farmers were educated up to primary school (25.19 %), followed by illiterate (24.09 %), secondary school (22.29 %), high school (12.09 %), intermediate (07.46 %), graduate (04.69 %) and post graduate (04.19 %). Education is a fundamental factor in shaping and bringing about desired changes in people and this is a universal truth. The farmers with a good level of education have a natural tendency towards embracing changes in the social system. Farmers have learned the value of education as a tool for raising their general standard of living and are aware of its importance. A common social context may have contributed to the fact that all of the respondents had a fair amount of education. Given that most farmers had some level of education, they were able to learn about current technologies and sustainable farming methods. Similar results were observed in the study of Parmar [15], Shwetha and Shivalingaih [10], Ghosh et al. [16], Deshmukh et al. [13] and Meshram et al. [14].

## 3.1.3 Land holding

The results in Table 1 indicated that, most of farmers were small (30.20 %), followed by a little over one fourth semi-medium (25.32 %), marginal (23.80 %), medium (10.51 %) and large (10.17 %). The distribution of land ownership is consistent with national trends, according to which 80 percent of all land holdings are small and medium-sized. The major occupation of the family that has inherited the land from their ancestors is agriculture. This finding might be due to that they might have passed down hereditary land deviations from one family to the next family and there are no other sources of income and practically all of them rely on land for their livelihood security. The findings of Parmar [15], Shwetha and Shivalingaih [10], Ghosh et al. [16], Deshmukh et al. [13] and Jadhav [12] also expressed similar results as that of the present study.

#### 3.1.4 Annual income

It could be seen from Table 1. that, slightly more than half of the farmers fall under medium income category (55.56 %), while 23.61 and 20.83 per cent were belonged to high and low income category. This may be the result of farmers cultivating high-value crops along with Paddy or due to their secondary school level and the lack of other businesses in their community. The results of the study were in agreement with that of studies of Parmar [15], Verma [11], Ghosh et al. [16], Deshmukh et al. [13] and Jadhav [12].

#### 3.1.5 Farming experience

It could be observed from Table 1 that, slightly more than the three fifth of the farmers had

higher farming experience (60.18 %), whereas a little over one fourth (27.52 %) and 12.30 per cent had medium and low level on farming experience. It can be inferred from these findings that the sampled paddy growers were found it easier to make decisions about agriculture in general and rice production in particular if they had acceptable farming experience. An increase in farming experience improves their interactions with progressive farmers, extension agents and more Paddy farming sustainability. Similar results were observed in the studies of Neha Markam [17], Chitra and Ramanna [18], Shwetha and Shivalingaih [10] and Parmar [15].

### 3.2 Communication Characteristics of Paddy Farmers

#### 3.2.1 Mass media exposure

The data presented in Table 2 states that three fourth i.e., 73.14 per cent had medium level of mass media exposure, while 14.81 and 12.05 per cent were coming under the low and high level of mass media exposure respectively. Mass media contact increases farmer's ability in knowing recent information and technology and also widens the mental horizon of farmers to accept and adopt practices in agriculture. Various channels such as television, radio, newspaper *etc.*, were reinforcing the confidence in farmers to take up new activities or new innovations. The results of the study were in agreement with that of studies of Kiran and Shenoy [19], Neha Markam [17], Nagraj et al. [20], Shambharkar et al. [9] and Verma [11].

#### 3.2.2 Extension contact

The data in Table 2 shows that majority of the farmers (56.10 %) were possessed medium level of extension contact, whereas a little over one fourth (23.10 %) and 20.80 per cent had high and low level on extension contact, respectively. The reason for medium extension contacts of farmers might be that, farmer's regular and frequent visits to Krishi Vignan Kendra's in finding information on Paddy sustainable practices and also information provided by agriculture officers on improved practices whenever needed and different sources of information influence the knowledge, attitude and perception of the farmer towards Paddy farming sustainability and adoption. Similar results were observed in the studies of Ghosh et al. [16], Deshmukh et al. [13] and Jadhav [12].

S. No.	Characteristics	Category	Farmers (n =216)	
			F	%
1.	Age	Young (<35 years)	53	24.53
		Middle (35-50 years)	118	54.62
		Old (>50 years)	45	20.85
2.	Education	Illiterate (0)	52	24.09
		Primary school (1)	54	25.19
		Secondary school (2)	49	22.29
		High school (3)	26	12.09
		Intermediate (4)	16	07.46
		Graduation (5)	10	04.69
		Post-graduation (6)	09	04.19
3.	Land holding	Marginal (<1 ha)	50	23.80
		Small (1-2 ha)	65	30.20
		Semi medium (2-4 ha)	56	25.32
		Medium (4-10 ha)	23	10.51
		Large (>10 ha)	22	10.17
4.	Annual income	Low (< 60,000)	45	20.83
		Medium (60,000-1,20,000)	120	55.56
		High (>1,20,000)	51	23.61
5.	Farming experience	Low (<14 years)	26	12.30
		Medium (15-30 years)	60	27.52
		High (>30 years)	130	60.18

#### Table 1. Distribution of respondents based on personal characteristics

f = frequency of farmers, Per cent = %

S. No.	Characteristics	Category	Class interval	Farm	ers (n =216)
				F	%
1.	Mass media exposure	Low	3-6	32	14.81
	-	Medium	6-8	158	73.14
		High	8-11	26	12.05
2.	Extension contact	Low	5-7	45	20.80
		Medium	7-9	121	56.10
		High	9-11	50	23.10
3.	Extension participation	Low	4-8	11	05.20
	-	Medium	8-12	159	73.60
		High	12-16	46	21.20

Table 2. Distribution of communication characteristics of the respondents

f = frequency of farmers, Per cent = %

## 3.2.3 Extension participation

The results shown in Table 2 states that three fourth (73.60 %) of respondents had medium level of extension participation, whereas 21.20 and 05.20 per cent had high and low level of participation, respectively. extension The pertinent reasons may be that most of the farmers had good contact with extension functionaries of line department and private companies as a result they could have participate actively in various extension activities for gathering the recent information and to know the worth of Paddy sustainable farming technologies. This result is in accordance with the results of Dutta [21], Nishitha [22] and Supriya [7].

#### 3.3 Psychological Characteristics of Paddy Farmers

## 3.3.1 Management orientation

71.75 per cent of the respondents were shown to have medium level of management orientation, while 17.60 per cent and 10.65 per cent of them had high and low level of management orientation, respectively (Table 3). The probable reason for the above trend might be that field extension officers and functionaries do have interactions with farmers to manage the crop planning, production and marketing activities and re-orient level of management and aware of the significance of management in their farm, but at the same time, they do not take enough care in the planning, production and marketing of their Paddy produce at the right time in the right place at the right market. This result is in accordance with the results of Murkuthe [23], Bhosale [24] and Singh and Pandey [5].

## 3.3.2 Farming commitment

The results from Table 3 Revealed that, more than half of the farmers (54.62 %) were having

high level of farming commitment, while one third (30.56 %) and (14.82 %) had medium and low level on farming commitment, respectively. Commitment to farming involves making the effort to not only make a living but also to preserve the resource base and make a life continuously. Despite the allure of intensive agriculture methods that prioritise the use of agrochemicals, due to long-term considerations, dedicated farmers have turned to Paddy sustainable farming. This is reflected in having high farming commitment. Similar findings were presented by Chandra Naik [4], Preethi [5] and Sunitha [6].

## 3.3.3 Scientific orientation

The results shown in Table 3 states that, nearly three fifth of the farmers (58.10 %) had medium level of scientific orientation, while 24.80 per cent and 17.10 per cent of them had low and high level scientific orientation, respectively. They may have placed science on par with religion, which is the most likely explanation for the results. This result is in accordance with the results of Singh and Pandey [5], Dutta [21], Nagraj et al. [20] and Shambharkar et al. [9].

## 3.3.4 Achievement motivation

The results appearing in Table 3 revealed that half of the farmers (51.86 %) were having high level of achievement motivation, followed by one third 25.46 per cent and 22.68 per cent with medium and low level of achievement motivation, respectively. The reason is that an individual's basic character, which drives and assists them in doing anything, is accomplishment motivation. It is a psychological condition that a person internalises and motivates them to strive for greater standards of living and earning. The findings were in agreement with the results of studies conducted by Ganesha [27], Supriya [7] and Leelavathi [8].

S. No.	Characteristics	Category	Class interval	Farmers (n =216)	
				f	%
1.	Management orientation	Low	34-42	23	10.65
		Medium	42-50	155	71.75
		High	50-58	38	17.60
2.	Farming commitment	Low	14-17	32	14.82
		Medium	17-20	66	30.56
		High	20-23	118	54.62
3.	Scientific orientation	Low	19-23	52	24.80
		Medium	23-27	127	58.10
		High	27-31	37	17.10
4.	Achievement motivation	Low	16-20	49	22.68
		Medium	20-24	55	25.46
		High	24-28	112	51.86
5.	Innovativeness	Low	12-16	28	12.90
		Medium	16-20	175	81.00
		High	20-24	13	06.10
6.	Economic motivation	Low	10-13	39	18.20
		Medium	13-16	146	67.50
		High	16-19	31	14.30
7.	Decision making pattern	Low	18-23	38	17.59
		Medium	23-28	135	62.51
		High	28-33	43	19.90
8.	Value orientation	Low	12-16	32	14.81
		Medium	16-20	114	52.79
		High	20-24	70	32.40
9.	Level of Aspiration	Low	19-24	45	20.83
		Medium	24-29	139	64.35
		High	29-34	32	14.82
10.	Risk orientation	Low	15-20	34	15.70
		Medium	20-24	115	53.20
		High	24-29	67	31.10

#### Table 3. Distribution of psychological characteristics of the respondents

f= frequency of farmers, Per cent = %

#### 3.3.5 Innovativeness

The data presented in Table 3 indicates that half of the farmers (81.00 %) were having medium level of innovativeness, whereas 12.90 and 06.10 per cent had low and high level of innovativeness, respectively. The personality of an individual is more so influenced by innovation. A person who is more innovative than others can do tasks faster and with more accuracy. In general, innovations increase with increased levels of formal education. In such circumstances, respondents try to learn more and experiment with new concepts and technologies within their means and constraints. Farmers who are more open to innovation will also try to learn as much as they can about the new technology in order to adopt it as quickly and accurately as possible. Similar results were

observed in the studies of Nishitha [22], Chitra and Ramanna [18], Shwetha and Shivalingaih [10] and Verma [11].

#### 3.3.6 Economic motivation

The data in Table 3 shown that two third of farmers (67.50 %) were found to have medium level of economic motivation, whereas 18.20 and 14.30 per cent of them had low and high level on economic motivation, respectively. It might be the result of a desire for high agricultural returns in order to maintain a high level of living. The other factor might be that farmers are starting to focus more and more on the market in order to increase their profits. This finding is conformity with the findings of Kiran and Shenoy [19], Nishitha [22], Leelavathi [8] and Shambharkar et al. [9].

#### 3.3.7 Decision making pattern

Slightly more than three fifth of farmers (62.51%) were having medium level of decision-making, while 19.90 per cent and 17.59 per cent had high and low level of decision-making respectively (Table 3). The key to improving profits from agriculture and allied activities is to make wise decisions. Farmers must make decisions based on the situation at hand, the resources at their disposal and the paddy sustainability of their farming. Similar results were observed from the studies of Nataraju [28], Nishitha [22] and Supriya [7].

### 3.3.8 Value orientation

The data pertaining to Table 3 states that slightly more than half of farmers i.e., 52.79 per cent were possessing medium level of value orientation, followed by 32.40 and 14.81 per cent of them high and low level of the same, respectively. The most likely explanation is that, rural value systems need to be pushed on a larger scale in order to keep up with continuous innovations and modern-day needs. The results of value orientation are in agreement with the results of Chandra Naik [25], Sowmya [29] and Mamathalakshmi [4].

#### 3.3.9 Level of aspiration

The data disclosed in Table 3 revealed that, about 64.35 per cent were having medium level of level of aspiration, whereas 20.83 and 14.82 per cent of them had low and high level of aspiration, respectively. This might be due to the situational circumstances. Similar results were observed in the studies of Sajjan [3] and Preethi [26].



Fig. 1. Glimpse of data collection using standardized interview schedule

### 3.3.10 Risk orientation

It could be observed from Table 3 that, majority of the farmers had medium level of risk orientation (53.20 %) followed by high (31.10 %) and low (15.70 %). For the sustainability of their Paddy farming, the farmers in these groups may have made the decision to take a risk and put out the effort to adopt modern agricultural technology or recommended sustainable practices. It might be because farmers need to take risks to make money so that they can enhance their livelihood security. Findings were in line with the Singh and Pandey [5], Warawdekar [30], Korde [31] and Jadhav [12], [32-34].

## 4. CONCLUSION

It has become increasingly important to embrace recommended sustainable practises and utilise inputs like equipment and fertiliser application. practises Sustainable business increase productivity without harming the environment. Future studies can explore the synchronicity of adoption of advised sustainable practises. This could assist policymakers in comprehending the aspects affecting farmers as they implement suggested sustainable practises. Investigation revealed that, farmers' adoption choices are heavily influenced by availability of advisory services, agrochemicals, organic fertilizers, education, economic motivation, innovativeness, achievement motivation, level of aspiration and risk orientation. Governments and line departments should provide farmers with numerous opportunities to learn more about advised sustainable farming techniques through on-campus or off-campus events. As one Nation one fertilizer brings all the farmers under same platform to follow the fertilizer use efficiency across the country to fill the gap between farmers and Bharat brands in order to avoid dealer's black market. With this there will be a enhancement in usage of both organic and inorganic agrochemicals on sustainable basis for sustainability of future generations leads to sustainable agriculture across the country to enhance the life expectancy of people for long healthy life and standard of living. So that, unifying all brands under a single umbrella brand, it aims to increase fertiliser transparency and affordability for all small and marginal farmers along with progressive farmers in the country.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

2.

1. Food and Agriculture Organisation. Conservation Agriculture Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2006. Available:http://www.fao.org/ conservation-

agriculture/en/ Agricultural Market Intelligence Centre. Paddy Outlook in July, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural

- University; 2021.
  Sajjan PS. Comparative profile analysis of rural youth in rainfed and irrigated tracts of Bagalkot District, M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Dharwad University of Agricultural
- Sciences, Dharwad; 2006.
  Mamathalakshmi N. An analysis of livelihood security among agricultural labourers in Karnataka, Ph.D. (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Science, Bangalore; 2013.
- Singh KK, Pandya ML. Knowledge and adoption behaviour of paddy growers. Agricultural Extension Review. 2013;Jul-Aug:22-23.
- Sunitha AB. Sustainability of farming systems in selected Agro-climatic zones of Karnataka. Trends in Biosciences. 2015;8(7):1857-1862.
- 7. Supriya. Decision making pattern and work participation of farm women in plantation management of coffee in Kodagu District, M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis. University of Agricultural Science, Bangalore; 2016.
- Leelavathi M. Impact of vocational training programme 'Friends of coconut tree and plant protection' conducted by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Shivamogga, M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, University of Agricultural Horticulture Science, Shivamogga, Karnataka; 2017.
- Shambharkar YB, Sarnaik SD, Kale NM. Correlates of study on personal attributes of farmers with the adoption of integrated nutrient management practices of Bt cotton growers. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2018;6:2725-2730.
- 10. Shwetha NV, Shivalingaih YN. Personal and socio-psychological characteristics of farmers in Association with performance of different farming systems adopted by farmers in Chickaballapur District of Karnataka, India. International Journal of

Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(3):787-793.

- Verma D. Attitude of farmers towards organic farming in Jabalpur district of Madhya Pradesh, M.Sc. (Agri.), Thesis, Department of Extension Education, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh; 2019.
- 12. Jadhav HS. Perception of farmers about zero budget natural farming, M.Sc. (Agri.), Thesis, Department of Extension Education, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra; 2020.
- 13. Deshmukh JM, Dhawale SP, Kanade SV. Relationship between profile of the farmers and their attitude towards sustainable agricultural practices. Current Journal of Applied Sciences and Technology. 2020;39(6):101-106.
- 14. Meshram M, Khare NK, Singh SRK. Analysing farmers' perception towards integrated farming in Madhya Pradesh. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;SP-10(3):90-92.
- Parmar H. Awareness and perception of integrated farming system by farmers in Shajapur district, Madhya Pradesh, M.Sc. (Agri.), Thesis, Department of Extension Education, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh; 2018.
- 16. Ghosh MK, Mehedi HS, Ara N, Zahara FT, Nur SB, Md Mahamudul H. Farmer's attitude towards organic farming: A case study in Chapainawabanj district. Asian journal of Advances in Agricultural Research. 2019;10(2):1-7.
- Neha Markam. Perception and utilization of eco- friendly farming practices of paddy crop among the tribal farmers of Baihar block of district Balaghat (M.P), M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis. Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh. India; 2016.
- Chitra BM, Ramanna KN. Profile characteristics of farmers practicing selected farming systems in Mandya district, Karnataka, The Pharma Innovation journal. 2017;6(11):334-338.
- Kiran S, Shenoy SS. Constraints in adoption of system of rice intensification in Warangal district of Andhra Pradesh, Journal of Research ANGRAU. 2010;38(1&2):77-85.
- 20. Nagaraj B, Krishnamurthy MT, Lakshminarayana, Nishitha K. Personal,

socio-economic, psychological and communication characteristics of the paddy growers. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2018;7:4501-4510.

- 21. Dutta BP. Study on the adoption behaviour of rice farmers towards Alternate Wetting and Drying (AWD) technology in Puri district of Odisha, M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, OUAT, Bhubaneswar; 2015.
- 22. Nishitha K. Decision making and participation of farm men and women in sugarcane cultivation: A study in Mandya district, M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, University of Agricultural Science, Bangalore; 2016.
- 23. Murkuthe KL. Knowledge and adoption level of bajara production technology. Asian Journal of Extension Education. 2006;7:101-105.
- 24. Bhosale US. Participation of rural youth in paddy farming in Anand district of Gujarat state, M. Sc. (Ag.) Thesis. AAU, Anand; 2010.
- 25. Chandra Naik S. An analysis of sustainability of cotton farming systems, Ph.D. Thesis. University of Agricultural Science, Bangalore; 2002.
- 26. Preethi. A study on perception, aspiration and participation of farm youth in agriculture, Ph. D (Agri.) Thesis, Department of Agricultural Extension, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bengaluru; 2015.
- 27. Ganesha SN. A study on achievement motivation of farm youth awardees in southern Karnataka, M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, University of Agricultural Science, Bangalore; 2013.
- 28. Nataraju BY. A study on participation of women in dairy farming in Chikkamagalur district, M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, University of Agricultural Science, Bangalore; 2012.
- 29. Sowmya TM. Entrepreneurial behaviour of rural women in Mandya district of Karnataka, M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, University of Agricultural Science, Bangalore; 2009.
- 30. Warawdekar. Resource management for eco-friendly sustainable cotton cultivation by the farmers of Bharuch and Narmada districts of south Gujarat, Ph.D. thesis (Ag), N.A.U., Navsari, Gujarat; 2014.
- 31. Korde VM. Attitude of farmers towards organic farming, M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra; 2017.
- 32. Food and Agriculture Organisation of United Nations. The State of food security

Rani et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 18, pp. 1090-1102, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.103093

and nutrition in the world. Rome, Italy. 2021;240.

- ISBN: 978-92-5-134325-8
  33. Available:https://corecarbonx.com/casestudies/sustainable-water-managementfor-rice-farming-in-telangana/
- 34. Papademetriou MK. Rice production in the Asia-Pacific region: Issues and perspectives. 2022;33. Available:https://www.fao.org/3/x6905e/x6 905e04.html

© 2023 Rani et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103093