

Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting

Volume 23, Issue 21, Page 9-18, 2023; Article no.AJEBA.106572 ISSN: 2456-639X

Does Entrepreneurial Orientation Affect the Performance of Women-owned SMEs in the Banditry Zone? The Empirical Evidence from Kebbi State, Nigeria

Hamza Aliyu Galadanchi^{a*} and Zainab Alkali^a

^a Department of Business Administration, College of Social and Management Science, Al-Qalam University Katsina, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJEBA/2023/v23i211111

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106572

Original Research Article

Received: 13/07/2023 Accepted: 18/09/2023 Published: 29/09/2023

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to investigate how women-owned small and medium-sized businesses in Kebbi State, Nigeria, have fared in the face of banditry by using entrepreneurial orientations. In order to gather information from women-owned SMEs, a cross-sectional survey methodology was adopted. A cluster sampling approach was used to select 234 participants at random for the study and questionnaires used as a research instrument for data collection. The study's hypotheses were tested using partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). The result found that taking risks, being aggressive in the marketplace, and being independent are crucial entrepreneurial orientations for the success of SMEs in Kebbi State, Nigeria. It is assumed that innovation will boost business performance, but the results of this study show that innovation has

Asian J. Econ. Busin. Acc., vol. 23, no. 21, pp. 9-18, 2023

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: hamzaagaladanchi@auk.edu.ng, hamzaaliyu@yahoo.com;

the opposite effect on women-owned businesses, contradicting this expectation. The study concluded that taking risks, being aggressive in a competitive environment, and having autonomy benefit SMEs' performance. The study recommended that Owner-managers, decision-makers, and researchers can benefit greatly from the findings of this study in order to better understand how entrepreneurial orientations affect business performance. Owner-managers of SMEs should be encouraged and emphasize on risk-taking, independence, and competitive aggression to enhance their performance and economic effect. It is significant to highlight that placing too much emphasis on innovation should be minimized.

Keywords: Innovativeness; risk taking; competitive aggressiveness; autonomy; SMEs performance.

1. INTRODUCTION

The state of the business environment is changing day by day. Today's rapid growth of new technology and complex global economy has made business challenging to survive and achieve success in the competitive market environment. To gain a position in business is essential for a business to be entrepreneurial in nature [1]. Conferring Covin and Slevin [2] entrepreneurial firms are the firms where the toplevel manager has entrepreneurial management styles, operating management philosophy, firm's strategic decision, and focus on entrepreneurial orientation. Entrepreneurial orientation (EO) is a key concept when executives are crafting strategies in the hopes of doing something new exploiting opportunities and that other organizations cannot exploit. EO refers to the processes, practices, and decision-making styles of organizations that act entrepreneurially (Lumpkin & Dess, 1996). Any organization's level of EO can be understood by examining how it stacks up relative to three dimensions: (1) innovativeness, (2) proactiveness, (3) and risk taking. These dimensions are also relevant to individuals. Entrepreneurial orientation (EO) is measured at both the organizational and the individual levels. Those individuals who are less risk averse, innovative thinkers, and competitive tend to have a higher EO and greater success at starting a business. Online EO assessment tools exist for those wishing to determine their EO. It is important to note that EO is not only related to high tech start-ups. Starting a lawn care business or a beauty shop are very valid and necessary entrepreneurial ventures, and will have a better chance of success if an entrepreneur possesses a higher EO [3].

1.1 Innovativeness

Entrepreneurs are deemed innovative by driving change and conferring a competitive advantage to businesses (Kreiser, Marino, Dickson, & Weaver, 2010). This encompasses nurturing the

creation of novel products, services, and technological advancements (Fan, Qalati, Khan, Shah, Ramzan, & Khan, 2021). Innovation has the potential to foster initiatives that reshape both social and economic frameworks (Pineiro-Chousa, Lopez-& Cabarcos, Romero-Castro, & Perez-Pico, 2020). On one hand, businesses can swiftly enter markets due to the inventiveness of their founders, enabling them to consistently introduce fresh products and services while adapting to market needs (Covin & Wales, 2019). Novel products or services can equip SMEs to navigate volatile markets more effectively (Rhee, Park, & Lee, 2010). Conversely, enterprises can create offerings with higher profit potential and capture larger market shares owing to entrepreneurial innovation (Linton, 2019; Rauch, Wiklund, Lumpkin, & Frese, [4]. leading to enhanced entrepreneurial performance (Parida, Pesamaa, Wincent, & Westerberg, 2017). Building on this foundation, Nair (2020) advocates for the positive influence of innovation on the success of female entrepreneurs. As per Gundry et al. (2014), the innovativeness exhibited by female business owners elevates the firm's market value and significantly contributes to the sustainability of firm growth.

For a company to generate novel products and approaches, it must foster original thinking, experimentation, and inventive creativity. problem-solving (Lumpkin & Dess, 1996). The concept of innovation can be defined as the progression of society resulting from the application of fresh ideas and state-of-the-art methods in business operations [50-53]. These innovative ideas and methods spur the creation and advancement of new products, leading to demand increased consumer [39-42]. Furthermore, innovation encourages product differentiation, enhancing the market position and acceptance of the product compared to its competitors [5]. Hence, reinforcing existing skills, acquiring new skills, or transitioning from established skills to generating new ideas and capabilities can all be seen as instances of innovation (Certo et al., 2009). Multiple studies suggest a positive link between innovation and SME performance (Rhee, Park, & Lee, 2010; Hughes & Morgan, [5].

Hypothesis H1: Innovativeness has a positive relationship with business performance of women own enterprises in Kebbi State.

1.2 Risk-Taking

In the realm of small business ownership, individuals consistently navigate a challenging landscape where strategic risk-taking is essential for venturing into new industries or making investments. These entrepreneurs allocate their limited resources in a fiercely competitive environment, fully aware of the potential absence of returns or even the prospect of losses [43-46]. The act of embarking on a pursuit inherently carries the risk of failure. loss, or unfavorable outcomes. Entrepreneurial pursuits and embracing risk are inherently intertwined [6]. Altinay & Wang, 2011). Lüthje and Franke (2003) emphasized a direct connection between a greater inclination for risk-taking and entrepreneurial engagement, while Kirby (2004) proposed that entrepreneurs exhibit a proclivity for risk-taking. Existing literature and a multitude of studies underscore the close link between risktaking, the evolution, performance, and triumph of SMEs [7]. Ibidunni, Ogundana, & Okonkwo, Garca-Lopera, Santos-Jaén, [6]. Palacios-Manzano, & Ruiz-Palomo, [8].

At its core, risk-taking functions as a strategic approach that sets entrepreneurs apart from their competitors. Those willing to undertake calculated risks position themselves as pioneers in today's competitive business landscape, whereas those who shy away lag behind (Keh et al., 2007). In the pursuit of opportunity and progress, risk-taking remains the sole avenue. Entrepreneurs can secure a leadership position in their sector by embracing risks that their competitors are hesitant to undertake (Wang, 2016).

Hypothesis H2: Risk-taking has a positive relationship with business performance of women own enterprises in Kebbi State.

1.3 Competitive Aggressiveness

In simple terms, adopting a competitive aggressive stance involves surpassing competitors in performance. This encompasses proactive actions such as reducing prices,

intensifying marketing efforts, and expanding production capabilities (Lumpkin & Dess, 1996; Stambaugh, Lumpkin, Mitchell, Brigham, & Otache & Mahmood, [10] Cogliser, [9] Furthermore, competitive aggressiveness entails a company's endeavor to outshine its business rivals, characterized by assertive, dynamic, and forceful tactics aimed at rival activities to achieve organizational objectives and enhance market standing (Lumpkin & Dess, 2001). In addition, Hughes-Morgan et al. (2018) depicts competitive aggression as the inclination to consistently adopt diverse or distinct measures to confront rivals and enhance their relative competitive position.

Several studies have evidenced that engaging in assertive competitive behaviors can be advantageous for company performance [47-49]. For instance, companies that adopt a series of enduring actions often enjoy the benefits of being early movers, thereby surpassing competitors in terms of profitability (Ferrier, 2001; Luo & Lin, 2020). However, it's worth noting that the advantages of competitive aggression might not always be sustained, as such assertive actions could potentially trigger retaliatory responses and spark competitive conflicts across the entire market, both of which may not necessarily yield positive outcomes for the participants (D'Aveni, Dagnino, & Smith, 2010), Nonetheless, research has consistently shown that robust competition enhances business performance [11] Stambaugh, Lumpkin, Mitchell, Brigham, & Cogliser, [9] Otache & Mahmood, [10].

Hypothesis H3: Competitive aggressiveness has a positive relationship with business performance of women own enterprises in Kebbi State.

1.4 Autonomy

Autonomy pertains to the capability of making independent decisions and executing actions (Lumpkin & Dess, 1996). It also signifies an individual's strong desire for self-reliance in formulating and carrying out an idea (Li, Huang & Tsai, 2009). As indicated by various researchers (Coulthard, 2007; Prottas, 2008; Lumpkin, Cogliser, & Schneider, [4] the provision of autonomy to all members within an organization is posited to stimulate entrepreneurial behavior consequently enhance overall and firm performance. While the positive contribution of autonomy to enhancing business performance is recognized, some studies have not been able to establish a consistently favorable impact of this association [5].

The notion of strategic entrepreneurship and the creation of entrepreneurial value both strongly emphasize autonomy within the entrepreneurial orientation context. Enterprises that are privately owned and managed might not face issues with autonomy as their proprietors already exercise it [4]. Regarding the autonomy of micro and small businesses, Ismail (2014) demonstrates that the need for autonomy remains consistent across micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises. Consequently, this suggests that autonomous decision-making remains equally relevant irrespective of company size. Conversely, Thapa (2015) presents evidence of a positive correlation between the performance of microenterprises and autonomous decision-making.

Hypothesis H4: Autonomy has a positive relationship with business performance of women own enterprises in Kebbi State.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Standardized metrics are commonly employed in quantitative investigations to measure а particular concept. One of these standardized instruments that can be utilized to collect information with a reasonable level of precision and assess perspectives and sentiments regarding various matters is а survey this research, a selfquestionnaire. For administered survey is employed. The population comprised 603 women-owned enterprises registered with the Corporate Affairs Commission in Kebbi State, Nigeria. The study sample size was determined to be 234 using the [12] table, and these participants were selected through a purposive sampling approach. The study follows a cross-sectional methodology, wherein all data were collected concurrently. Out of the 234 questionnaires distributed, 225 (96.3%) were retrieved and included in the data analysis phase. 9 questionnaires were not collected from the responded because some are dead while others are displaced as a result of the attack by the bandit after the distribution.

2.1 Measures

We selected the most suitable measurement tools by considering their psychometric properties, relevance, and up-to-dateness. This choice was made even though previous studies had employed multiple scales to gauge the constructs under investigation. Nonetheless, these measurements were adjusted or borrowed from different origins. To minimize potential methodological bias [13] each variable is evaluated using an established scale designed by the researchers. Furthermore, a 5-point Likert scale was utilized to rate each individual item.

2.2 Data Analysis

In terms of data analysis, there exists a wide array of analytical and statistical methodologies at our disposal. However, in this study, we opted for the utilization of partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) with Smart-PLS 3 due to its alignment with the constructs and their measurements. This particular tool is gaining increasing popularity for assessing data across diverse academic fields [14,15]. This approach to structural equation modeling employs variance to appraise both internal and external models [16]. Within the realm of social and behavioral science research, it stands as the most commonly employed technique for quantitative data analysis (Lee et 2011). It boasts heightened statistical al., potency compared to many other statistical methods. Moreover, its distinctive capability lies in its aptitude to analyze unconventional small sample data.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Model Assessment

According to Henseler et al. [17] an investigator has the option to assess a reflective model within the PLS-SEM pathway model through a two-stage process. This process encompasses the evaluation of a measurement (outer) model and the evaluation of a structural (inner) model.

3.2 Assessment of Measurement Model

An assessment of a measurement model involves determining an individual item's reliability, internal consistency reliability, convergent validity, and discriminant validity [18,19] Henseler et al., [17].

The first stage in PLS-SEM analysis is the evaluation of the outer model. The outer model deals with the measurement of the component, which confirms that the survey items measure the constructs they were designed to measure, thus ensuring that they are reliable and valid. To evaluate the measurement model, previous literature had suggested an examination of the average variance extracted (AVE), composite reliability, and indicator loadings to measure convergent validity. All were evaluated and demonstrated that the survey items perfectly measured the variables [20,21].

Secondly, internal consistency reliability indicates the level at which every item on a specific subscale is evaluating the same concept (Bijttebier et al., 2000). Cronbach's alpha coefficient and composite reliability coefficient are the commonly adopted indicators of the internal consistency reliability of an instrument in a structural study [22,23,24] In this study, internal consistency reliability was assessed by examining Cronbach's alpha coefficient and composite reliability coefficient, and they were all acceptable [25,26] Peterson & Kim, [24]. Nunnally & Bernstein, 1978).

Furthermore, this study assessed discriminant validity using average variance extracted (AVE) (Fornell-Lacker criterion) and cross-loadings to measure the extent to which one construct is different from another construct. Convergent validity can be measured by calculating the AVE in the indicators that is accounted for by the focal construct (Mackenzie et al., [27]. Hence, discriminant validity was evaluated by comparing the square root of the AVE for each construct with the highest correlation of the latent construct in the matrix.

3.3 Assessment of Structural Model

This involved evaluating the structural model's predictive abilities and relationships between the constructs. The fundamental criteria for

evaluating a structural model in PLS-SEM are the significance of the path coefficients, coefficient determination (R2), effect size (F2), and predictive relevance of the model (Q2) [28]. A systematic model analysis of the structural model was carried out to provide a detailed understanding of the results and to test hypotheses.

Fig. 1 focuses on the analysis between the independent variable and the dependent variable.

Hypothesis H1 was developed to examine if there is a relationship between innovativeness and the business performance of women-owned enterprises in Kebbi State. Results in Table 1 and Fig. 1 were statistically insignificant, which a negative relationship shows between innovativeness and performance of women's own enterprises in Kebbi State, where $\beta = 0.098$, t = 1.776, and p 0.076, not supporting the hypothesis H1. However, Hypothesis H2 was developed to examine if there is a relationship between risk taking and the business performance of women's enterprises in Kebbi State. Results in Table 1 and Fig. 1. show that risk taking and business performance had a significant positive relationship with $\beta = 0.105$, t = 2.125, and p <0.034, supporting hypothesis H2. Similarly, hypothesis H3, which was developed to examine if there is a relationship between competitive aggressiveness and business performance, and hypothesis H4, a relationship the between autonomy and business performance of women's own enterprises in Kebbi State, were all supported as shown on both Table 1 and Fig. 1., as β = 0.389, t = 5.599, and p 0.000, and β = 0.285, t = 3.932, and p < 0.000. respectively.

Table 1. Results of hypotheses testing

Hypothesis	В	Stand Dev	T Stat	P Values	Decision
Innovativeness -> Perf.	0.098	0.055	1.776	0.076	Not
					Supported
Risk taking -> Perf.	0.105	0.050	2.125	0.034	Supported
Comp. Aggressiveness -> Perf.	0.389	0.069	5.599	0.000	Supported
Autonomy -> Perf.	0.285	0.073	3.932	0.000	Supported
	0.200	0.010	0.002	0.000	oupponed

Note: In two-tailed test of significance p < 0.01***, p <0.05**, p <0.1*

Table 2. Effect	size on the	endogenous laten	t construct cohen	(1988)
-----------------	-------------	------------------	-------------------	--------

Latent Construct	F-Squared	Effect Size
Entrepreneurial Orientation	0.094	Small

Galadanchi and Alkali; Asian J. Econ. Busin. Acc., vol. 23, no. 21, pp. 9-18, 2023; Article no.AJEBA.106572



Table 3. Construct cross-validated redundancy

Fig. 1. PLS-SEM bootstrapping model

3.4 Assessment of Variance Explained in the Endogenous Latent Variables

The PLS-SEM structural model recommends using R-squared (R²), which is also called the coefficient of determination, as a value assessment criterion (Hair et al., 2012; Henseler et al., 2009). The R2 value represents the ratio of variation in the dependent variable(s) that could be explained by one or more independent variable(s) [29,30,31] suggested an R2 value of 0.10 as the minimum acceptable level. In addition, Chin [32] recommended that in PLS-SEM, an R2 value of 0.19 is considered weak, 0.33 as moderate, and 0.60 as substantial. The R2 value from the endogenous latent construct in this study is 0.218, which is moderate.

As such, this study's model explains 21.8% of the total variance in business performance, suggesting that the exogenous latent constructs (entrepreneurial orientation) and their dimensions jointly explain 21.8% of the variance of the endogenous latent construct (business performance). Thus, following Falk and Miller [31] and Chin [32] this study's endogenous latent construct (dependent variable) showed adequate levels of R-squared values, which were considered acceptable. Chin [32] noted that even a model with a low R2 can still yield excellent goodness of fit.

3.5 Assessment of Effect Size (F2)

After assessing the R^2 , according to Hair et al. [33] the next criterion to be assessed is effect size (F^2). Effect size is the difference in R^2 between the main effects when the particular exogenous construct is omitted from the model and when it is in the model. This is done purposefully to evaluate whether the omitted exogenous construct has a substantial impact on the endogenous variables [32,33].

3.6 Predictive Relevance of the Model

Another assessment of the structural model involves the model's capacity to be predictive. In addition to assessments of R^2 and the effect size of all the exogenous latent variables on the endogenous latent variable, researchers suggested evaluating the level of predictive relevance of the model, Q^2 [34,35]. The value of Q^2 shows how well the observed values have formed the model as well as its parameter estimations Chin, [32] Hair et al. [30] assumed that the model should be able to effectively predict each dependent latent variable indicator.

Predictive relevance (Q²), the last evaluation criterion, is evaluated using construct-cross verified redundancy. Hence, Q² greater than zero denotes a model's predictive relevance (Geisser,

1975). The Q^2 for Firm Performance is 0.384 which is greater than zero, demonstrating the predictive usefulness of the study's model.

4. DISCUSSION

There are still certain information gaps, despite the recent increase in research linkina entrepreneurial orientations with firm performance. This study looked at how these entrepreneurial orientation proxies related to a sample of women-owned family businesses operating in a risky and difficult environment in northern Nigeria. With the exception of innovativeness, which was unrelated to the performance of family-owned firms, the findings supported the hypotheses that all the other proxies of entrepreneurial orientation were positively connected to women-owned family firm performance.

The results of this study support and add to earlier studies in a variety of ways. The assumption that entrepreneurial orientations are positively associated with firm performance is backed by a lot of data. On the one hand, studies have shown that it has a favourable link with firm performance; thus, these new findings confirmed the conclusions of earlier research [36,37,38].

The significance of the notion of entrepreneurial abilities in predicting results in numerous problem-affected nations like Nigeria is another explanation for this finding. According to this research, entrepreneurs who can take risks despite problems, be aggressive, and exercise a level of autonomy in their decision-making will be able to survive, succeed, and remain dedicated in a challenging climate. The outcome gave unequivocal proof that a firm's performance depends on how entrepreneurially oriented an entrepreneur is. Performance improves as one becomes more innovative, autonomous, and aggressive in making business decisions. This finding is consistent with a large body of empirical research that has linked entrepreneurial orientations to better company success, either directly or indirectly.

To the best of our knowledge, no research has previously examined and experimentally verified the relationships between entrepreneurial orientation and women's family-owned firm performance in a banditry-prone region of northwestern Nigeria. This is the first attempt to integrate these proxies into a single model and assess how they relate to one another within such an area.

4.1 Limitations and Directions for Future Study

This study has several limitations. First, the nature of this study is cross-sectional, and no causal conclusions can be drawn from the current results. Future research should overcome this limitation by using a longitudinal research design. Second, because participants self-rated in the same measurement environment, future research should use multiple sources or numerous time points to collect data in an effort to confirm the current findings. In this study, we solely concentrated on four of the proxies of entrepreneurial orientations to predict business performance because of the nature of the setting. We discovered that these constructs were crucial in predicting the results of this investigation. Future research incorporating additional entrepreneurial orientation proxies to forecast family firm performance with supplementary variables would be beneficial.

5. CONCLUSION

This exploratory inquiry has provided new insight into firm success and the value of entrepreneurial orientations in the context of family businesses. Overall, we were able to present four direct links based on the analysis's findings. With the exception of one, each of these connections mattered. However, beyond the context in which the data were collected, this piece of work can only offer fragmentary insight. It is an uncommon article on factors influencing business performance in the setting of family firms, but the findings of our sample suggest that more research in this area is absolutely required given the differences between country cultures and environmental conditions. Family firm performance needs additional research to be relevant, which would widen the conclusions in this work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Wiklund J, Shepherd DA. Where to from here? EO-as-experimentation, failure, and distribution of outcomes. Entrepreneurship theory and practice. 2011;35(5):925-46.

- Covin JG, Slevin DP. Strategic management of small firms in hostile and benign environments. Strategic management journal. 1989;10(1):75-87.
- 3. Chitrakar S. Market and entrepreneurial orientation of Nepalese small and medium enterprises (SMEs). Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Tribhuvan University, Nepal; 2019.
- Lumpkin GT, Cogliser CC, Schneider DR. Understanding and measuring autonomy: An entrepreneurial orientation perspective. Seizure, 14(806), 47–70. Retrieved from?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Ci tation &list uids=15642502;2009.
- 5. Hughes M, Morgan RE. Deconstructing the relationship between entrepreneurial; 2007.
- Ibidunni AS, Ogundana OM, Okonkwo A. Entrepreneurial competencies and the performance of informal SMEs: The contingent role of business environment. Journal of African Business. 2021;22(4):468-490.
- 7. Lukiastuti F, Kusuma AH. (2021, March). The Moderating Role of Access to Financing on the Relationship Between Entrepreneurial Orientation and Performance of Batik Smes. In *The 3rd International Conference on Banking, Accounting,* Management and Economics (ICOBAME. 2020;123-128. Atlantis Press.
- García-Lopera F, Santos-Jaén JM, Palacios-Manzano M, Ruiz-Palomo D. Exploring the effect of professionalization, risk-taking and technological innovation on business performance. Plos one. 2022;17(2):e0263694.
- 9. Stambaugh J, Lumpkin GT, Mitchell RK, Brigham K, Cogliser C. Competitive aggressiveness, community banking and performance. Journal of Strategy and Management; 2020.
- Otache Mahmood R. 10. Ι, Corporate entrepreneurship and business external The performance: role of environment and organizational culture: A proposed framework. Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences. 2015;6(4 S3):524-531.
- Abdullahi U, Kunya SU, Bustani SA, Usman N. Impact of competitive aggressiveness on performance of small and medium construction firms in Nigeria. FUTY Journal of the Environment. 2019;13(1):65-74.

- 12. Krejcie RV, Morgan DW. Determining sample size for research activities.Educational and Psychological Measurement. 1970;38:607–610.
- Podsakoff PM, Mackenzie SB, Podsakoff NP. Sources of method bias in social science research and recommendations on how to control it. In Sources of Method Bias in Social Science Research. 2012;1– 34.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevpsych-120710-100452

 Sarstedt M, Ringle CM, Henseler J, Hair JF. On the emancipation of PLS-SEM: A commentary on Rigdon (2012). Long Range Planning. 2014;5(2): 1–7.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2014. 02.007

 Hair Joseph, Sarstedt M, Pieper T, Ringle C. The use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in strategic management research: A review of past practices and recommendations for future applications. Long Range Planning. 2012; 45(5–6):320–340.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2012. 09.008

 Henseler J, Ringle CM, Sinkovics RR. The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. Advances in International Marketing. 2009a;20(2):277– 319.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-7979(2009)0000020014

 Henseler J, Ringle CM, Sinkovic RR. The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. Advances in International Marketing. 2009b;20(2):277– 319.
 Available:https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-

Available:https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-7979(2009)0000020014

- Hair Joe F, Ringle CM, Sarstedt M. PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. The Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice. 2011;19(2);139–152. Available:https://doi.org/10.2753/MTP1069 -6679190202
- Hair Joe, Sarstedt M, Hopkins L, Kuppelwieser VG. Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) An emerging tool in business research. European Business Review. 2014;26(2): 106–121.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-10-2013-0128

- 20. Avkiran NK. Partial least squares structural equation modeling, A recent advances in banking and finance. Gewerbestrasse Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2018.
- Hair Jr, Hult JF, GTM, Ringle C, Sarstedt M. A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). Los Angeles: SAGE Publications; 2014.
- 22. Bacon DR, Sauer P, Young M. Composite reliability in structural equations modeling. Educational and Psychological Measurement. 1995;55:394–406.
- 23. McCrae RR, Kurtz JE, Yamagata S, Terracciano A. Internal consistency, retest reliability, and their implications for personality scale validity. Personality and Social Psychology Review. 2011;15(1):28– 50.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1177/10888683 10366253

24. Peterson RA, Kim Y. On the relationship between coefficient alpha and composite reliability. Journal of Applied Psychology. 2013;98(1):194–198.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030767

- 25. Hair JFJ, Hult GTM, Ringle CM, Sarstedt M. A primer on partial least squares structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM). Thousands Oaks, California: SAGE Publications, Inc; 2014.
- 26. Henseler J, Hubona G, Ray PA. Partial least squares path modeling. In Partial Least Squares Path Modeling; 2016. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-64069-3
- Mackenzie SB, Podsakoff PM, Podsakoff NP. Construct measurement and validation procedures in MIS and behavioral research: Integrating new and existing techniques. MIS Quarterly. 2011;35(2):293–334.
- Hair Joseph F, Hult GTM, Ringle CM, Rstedt MS. A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). In European Business Review; 2014. Available:https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-10-2013-0128
- 29. Hair, Sarstedt M, Ringle CM, Mena JA. An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in marketing research. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science. 2012;40(3):414–433. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-011-0261-6

- Hair, Joseh F, Black WC, Babin B, Anderson R. Multivariate data analysis: Overview of multivariate method (Seventh Ed); 2010. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm. 2011.02.019
- Falk RF, Miller NB. A primer for soft modeling. Ohio: University of Akron Press. Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., & Buchner, A. (2007). G* Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behavior Research Methods. 1992; 39(2):175–191.
- 32. Chin WW. The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. In Modern methods for business research. New Jersey: Laurence Erlbaum Associates; 1998.
- Hair, Joseph F, Ringle CM, Sarstedt M, Vinzi E. Partial least squares structural equation modeling: Rigorous applications, better results and higher acceptance. Long Range Planning. 2013;46(1–2):1–12. Available:https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.20 08.47
- Geisser S. A predictive approach to the random effect model. Biometrika. 1974; 61(1):101–107.
- George BA, Marino L. The epistemology of entrepreneurial orientation: Conceptual formation, modeling, and operationalization. Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice. 2011;35(5):989– 1024. Available:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-

Available:https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 6520.2011.00455.x

- Stone M. Cross-validatory choice and assessment of statistical predictions. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. 1974;36(2):111–147.
- 37. Guo Y, Wang L. Environmental entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance: The role of environmental innovation and stakeholder pressure. Sage Open. 2022;12(1); 21582440211061354.
- Basco Hernández-Perlines 38. R. F, Rodríguez-García M. The effect of entrepreneurial orientation on firm performance: Α multigroup analysis comparing China, Mexico, and Spain. Journal of Business Research. 2020;113:409-421.
- Galbreath J, Lucianetti L, Thomas B, Tisch
 D. Entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance in Italian firms: The

moderating role of competitive strategy. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research. 2020;26(4):629-646.

- Aladejebi O. 21st century challenges confronting women entrepreneurs in Southwest Nigeria. Archives of Business Research. 2020;8(3):261–280. Available:https://doi.org/10.14738/abr.83.80 18
- 41. Al-Mamary YH, Alshallaqi M. Impact of autonomy, innovativeness, risk-taking, proactiveness, and competitive aggressiveness on students' intention to start a new venture. Journal of Innovation & Knowledge. 2022;7(4);100239.
- 42. Avkiran NK, Ringle CM. Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling: Recent Advances in Banking and Finance; 2018. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-

319-71691-643. Bosma N. The global entrepreneurship monitor (GEM) and its impact on

- monitor (GEM) and its impact on entrepreneurship research. Foundations and Trends in Entrepreneurship. 2013;9(2):143–248. Available:https://doi.org/10.1561/03000000 33
- 44. Hair, Joseph F, Black WC, Babin BJ, Anderson RE. *Multivariate data analysis: Table of Contents* (seventh Ed). New York: Pearson education limited; 2009.
- 45. Hair, Joseph, Ringle CM, Sarstedt M. The use of partial least squares (PLS) to address marketing management topics: From the special ssue guest editors. Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice. 2011;18(2):135–138. Available:https://doi.org/10.7252/JOURNA L.02.2014S.01
- 46. Hair, Matthews LM, Matthews RL, Sarstedt M. PLS-SEM or CB-SEM: Updated guidelines on which method to use. International Journal Multivariate Data Analysis. 2017;1(2):107–123. Available:https://doi.org/10.1504/IJMDA.20 17.087624

- Hakala H, Kohtamaki M. the Interplay Between Orientations: Entrepreneurial, technology and customer orientations in software companies. Journal of Enterprising Culture. 2010;18(03): 265– 290. Available:https://doi.org/10.1142/s0218495 810000598
- Halkias D, Nwajiuba C, Harkiolakis N, Caracatsanis SM. Challenges facing women entrepreneurs in Nigeria. Management Research Review. 2011; 34(2):221–235. Available:https://doi.org/10.1108/01409171 111102821
- 49. Henseler J, Hubona G, Pauline AR. Using PLS path modeling in new technology research: Updated guidelines. Industrial Management and Data Systems. 2016;116(1):2–20. Available:https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-09-2015-0382
- 50. Orientation and business performance at the embryonic stage of firm growth. Industrial Marketing Management. 36(1):651–661. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarm an.2006.04.003
- 51. Roy S. Tripathy Ρ, Tripathy PK. Assessment of factors affecting the performance of women entrepreneurs in MSE in Polosara district of Ganjam, Odisha. Journal Economics, of Management and Trade, Page. 2017; 17(3):1-11.
- 52. Shmailan A. Compare the characteristics of Male and Female entrepreneurs as explorative study. Journal of Entrepreneurship & Organization Management. 2016;05(04):1–7. Available:https://doi.org/10.4172/2169-026x.1000203
- 53. Taqi N. The challenges facing women entrepreneurs: A study on gulf cooperation council (GCC) countries: Evidence from Kingdom of Bahrain, United Arab Emirates and Saudi Arabia. Brunel University, London; 2016.

© 2023 Galadanchi and Alkali; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106572