Growth and Yield of Tea (*Camellia sinensis)* as Influenced by Nano Urea during Pre and Post Pruning Opertation

Plabita Saikia [a++*] and Aditi Smith Gogoi [a#]

a Department of Tea Husbandry and Technology, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, India.

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The growth and yield parameters of tea on mature plants of TV 23 clone were studied after application of Nano-urea as foliar spray at Experimental Garden for Plantation Crops, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat during the period 2021-22.

Bud breaking number and time attain to tipping height were two growth parameters observed after pruning operation was carried out. Numbers of bud break was counted 30 days, 40 days and 50 days after pruning respectively. After 50 days maximum bud breaking number (106/ plant) was observed in 0.5% Nano-urea applied plot. To attain that height, lesser time was required (51 days) by the same plot. Plucking density, fine leaf count and green leaf yield were three yield parameters observed during first, second and rain flush. Plucking point density was found maximum (50 no/m2) during rain flush where 0.4% Nano-urea applied in 3 sprays. Fine leaf count was found maximum during first flush than it decreasing during second flush and rain flush respectively. The green leaf yield was found high in the plot where 0.4% Nano-urea applied in 3 sprays during rain flush.

++ *Ph. D Student;*
# *Associate Professor;*
*Corresponding author: E-mail: plabitasaikia98@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

Tea [*Camellia sinensis* (L.) O. kuntze] is a potential commercial crop cultivated across the world. It is an economic beverage famous for its health benefits and aroma (Gebrewold, 2018) India produces all types of tea but it is the world's largest producer and consumer of black tea.

The harvesting portion of tea is young pluckable shoots consisted with leaves, leaf buds and internodes collected from tea plant every after 7 (seven) days. So, unlike most other crops, it needs more nitrogen [1] to get more vegetative growth. In tea plantations, nitrogen fertilization is a crucial field management technique. The availability of nitrogen fertiliser is essential for the development and quality of tea plants [2].

In order to feed and support the bulk of the world's population, fertilisers are fundamental to contemporary civilization. The formation of amino acids and proteins depends on nitrogen, which is one of the three main macronutrients required for agriculture along with phosphorus and potassium. Due to this, urea, ammonium salts, and organic fertilisers including manures, composts, and digestates are the most common forms of nitrogen fertiliser produced and used globally Walling et al [3].

Increased crop yields and improved soil health can be attained through innovative and sustainable agriculture practises. Among these cutting-edge technologies, nanotechnology is one that is proven effective in agriculture. Nanotechnology has a greater nutrient uptake efficiency, and it will soon transform the methods used for foliar application [4].

In the agricultural sector, the use of foliar spray of engineered nanoparticles as nano-fertilizers, nano-pesticides, nano-sensors, and nanocarriers is growing. Nano particles applied by foliar spraying increase the efficiency of plant protection technologies compared to traditional soil-root treatment. Foliar-sprayed nano particles mostly enter the leaves through stomata and travel to various plant sections via apoplastic and symplastic routes. Hong et al [5].

To address the nitrogen needs of crops, particularly during crucial growth phases, Indian Farmers' Fertilizer Cooperative Limited (IFFCO) created liquid nano urea as an alternative to urea. It is used as a foliar spray, aids in effective nitrogen absorption and penetration into the leaves, reaches plant sections where nitrogen is needed, and releases nutrients in a regulated manner, limiting loss into the environment. Additionally, it strengthens crop physiological features, particularly under drought-stressed environments. Since nano urea has a large surface area, is more soluble, and is smaller than conventional urea, it may aid in a variety of metabolic pathways, enhancing yields and quality metrics while reducing fertiliser waste. Lakshman *et al.* [6]

By applying liquid nano urea precisely and strategically to leaves, or "nano nitrogen," one can minimise urea losses, improve nutrient absorption effectiveness, and solve environmental problems including soil, air, and water pollution. It improves crop yield while requiring less nitrogen to be applied per unit area, improving agricultural economics. [7]

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mature plants of TV 23 clone with spacing 105cm×60cm was used regarding the experiment. TV 23 was a cambod type yield clone developed by Tocklai Tea Research Institute. The experiment was done by using recommended dose of N, P_2O_5 & K_2O to the control plot. Other treatment plot was treated with different dose of nano urea (NU) instead of urea to fulfill the nitrogen requirement of the plant and recommended dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O. The different doses of the nano-urea are mentioned below-

T_0 - Recommended dose of N, P_2O_5 & K_2O
T_1- 0.2% NU × 2 sprays + recommended dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O
T_2-0.3% NU × 2 sprays + recommended dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O
T_3- 0.4% NU × 2 sprays + recommended dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O
T_4- 0.5% NU × 2 sprays + recommended dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O
T_5- 0.2% NU × 3 sprays + recommended dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O
T_6- 0.3% NU × 3 sprays + recommended dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O

T$_7$- 0.4% NU × 3 sprays +recommended dose of P$_2$O$_5$ & K$_2$O

T$_8$– 0.5% NU × 3 sprays + recommended dose of P$_2$O$_5$ & K$_2$O

For all the treatments the first spray was applied on 25th November, 2021. After that pruning was done on 15th December, 2021. The final spray was applied for all the treatments on 9th June, 2022. For the treatments where three spray was applied, for them 2nd spray was applied on 12th April, 2022. The fertilizer was sprayed over leaves as foliar with the help of knapsack sprayer.

There are total number of 27 plots (No. of replication (R) = 3 (three)No. of treatments (T) =9 (nine)) and each plot there are 30 numbers of plants and in between two plots there 2 guard rows.

At an interval of 7th and 10th week after pruning number of bud break has been recorded from that of the pruned branches after pruning and was outlined in mean number of buds at various intervals. The time requirement to the buds to attain tipping height (25 cm from the pruning table) from the pruned sticks was recorded weekly basis. After the bud breaking, five plants from each plot were taken and randomly five buds per plants was tagged and recorded the length of the bud in centimeter. The recorded data was expressed in days from the day of pruning.

A grid of 50 cm^2×50 cm^2 area divided into 10 cm^2 was placed on the top of the bush. Number of pluckable shoots and already plucked shoots were counted and converted them into number per 100 cm^2 per bush. This method was similar to the method described by Barua and Dutta in the year 1971

Plucking point densit = No. of plucking point of the whole year/ tea bush spread (cm2)*100

Green leaves were plucked regularly generally in weekly basis. The weight of green leaves recorded during the experimental period. The recorded data was expressed in kg per hectare. A bulked of green leaves was collected and weighted. separate the all one leaf and a bud, two leaf and a bud and soft *banji.* Weight them against the total and expressed in percentage. (The planters' Handbook by TRA, 1996).

The Randomized Block Design was used to statistically examine each piece of data (RBD).

Calculating the corresponding "F" values allowed us to determine the significance of the variance resulting from the treatment effect (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985).

3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the present experiment on impact of Nano Urea influenced on growth parameters of mature tea plants conducted in the Experimental Garden for Plantation Crop, AAU, Jorhat, were presented below. Both field and laboratory studies were carried out to conduct the experiment. Field studies were conducted to study the growth characters and yield parameters. Laboratory studies were carried out to observe the biochemical parameters and nutrient availability of treated tea leaves. The experiment was conducted for the period of October 2021 to July, 2022.

In order to determine the difference between the tea plants impacted by the effluent and those unaffected by it for the previously mentioned parameters, the data was statistically analysed. The mean values were tabulated, and the associated CD values at the 5 percent probability level were computed and displayed in tables.

After every application of Nano Urea visual scoring was recorded against phytotoxicity. No visual phytotoxicity symptoms was observed after 1st, 2nd and 3rd application of Nano Urea.

The experimental findings are furnished in this chapter under the following broad heads:

3.1 Effect of Nano Urea on Growth Parameters of Mature Tea

The growth parameters studied after light pruned were classified into two categories *viz,* number of bud break and days required to attain the tipping height. Growth parameters were observed after the pruning was done to all the treatment plot and was recorded.

3.1.1 Bud breaking number

First application of all the treatments were done in the last week of November,2022. Light prune was given to the treatments after 15 days from the first spray. Bud breaking was observed 30 days after the first spray *i.e.,* from mid of January,2022. Data of bud breaking number influenced by various treatments of Nano Urea

are presented in the Table 1. In the experiment the maximum value for number of bud break amongst the treatment was observed in treatment T_7 after 50 days from light prune. But the data on the number of bud break shows no significant difference over control after one application of Nano Urea under various doses.

3.1.2 Time Required to Attain Tipping Height

The data of number of days required to attain tipping height after pruning influenced by application of various treatments of Nano Urea are presented in the Table 2 The results showed significant difference on time required to attain the tipping height amongst the treatments. It was observed that application of 0.5% Nano Urea took least time to attain tipping height *i.e.,* in treatment T_4 and treatment T_8 (51.33 and 51.67 days respectively) which is *at par* with application of 0.4% Nano Urea in treatment T_3 and treatment T_7 (52 days for both plots). The maximum days required to attain tipping height was observed in control plot *i.e.,* treatment T_0 (58 days).

The study revealed that with the increasing doses of Nano Urea, number of days required to attain tipping height was decreasing as it took lesser time to unfold buds. Similar findings were reported by Barman *et al.* [8] in tea crop. Nano Urea improves the metabolic activity of the plant

which enhanced the meristematic growth of the plant. Lakshman et al [6].

3.2 Yield Parameters

3.2.1 Plucking density

The experimental findings on number of plucking point density/m^2 of tea plants in various treatments of Nano Urea are presented in Fig 1. After 1st application of Nano Urea there is no significant difference amongst the treatment. After 2nd and 3rd application, plucking point density showed significant difference over control. The highest plucking point density/ m^2 was observed in treatment T_7 (35 no/m^2) (0.4% Nano Urea × 3 spray + basal dose of P_2O_5 and K_2O as recommended) after second application of Nano Urea followed by treatments T_8 (31 no/m^2) (Nano Urea 0.5% × 3spray with basal dose of P_2O_5 and K_2O). Lowest rate of plucking point density was observed in treatment T_0 (20 no/m^2) [9-13].

After 3rd application of Nano Urea, the highest plucking point density was observed in treatment T_7 (50 no/m^2) (0.4% Nano Urea × 3 spray + basal dose of P_2O_5 and K_2O as recommended) followed by treatments T_4 (44 no/m^2) and T_8 (42 no/m^2). (Nano Urea 0.5% × 2 spray and 0.5% × 3 spray respectively with basal dose of P_2O_5 and K_2O). Lowest rate of plucking point density was observed in treatment T_0 (34 no/m^2) [14-20].


Fig. 1. Plucking point density (no. of pluckable shoots/m^2) after 1st, 2nd and rain flush after application of Nano Urea

Table 1. Numbers of bud breaking as affected by various Nano Urea treatments

Treatment	30 days after pruning	40 days after pruning	50 days after pruning
T_0	19.00	81.33	98.67
T_1	21.67	84.00	101.33
T_2	20.67	83.00	100.33
T_3	22.33	84.66	102.00
T_4	26.00	88.33	105.67
T_5	24.67	87.00	104.33
T_6	22.00	22.00	84.33
T_7	24.33	24.33	86.66
T_8	19.33	19.33	81.66

3.2.2 Fine leaf count

The percentage of fine leaf during plucking after application of Nano Urea are presented in the Fig 2 below. No significant difference was observed in fine leaf percentage after 1st application of Nano Urea amongst the treatments. But after 2nd and 3rd application of Nano Urea, it showed significance difference over control. The highest fine leaf percentage was observed in treatment T_7 (71.33%, treated with 0.4% Nano Urea × 3 spray + basal dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O as recommended) followed with treatments T_8(69.33%) and T_4 (69%). (0.5% Nano Urea × 3 spray and 0.5% in × 2 spray respectively with basal dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O as recommended) [21-27].

After 3rd application, the highest fine leaf percent was observed in T_7 (63.33% treated with 0.4% Nano Urea × 3 spray + basal dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O as recommended) which is *at par* with treatments T_8 (61.66%) and T_4 (59.66%) (Nano Urea was applied 0.5% Nano Urea × 3spray and 0.5% Nano Urea × 2 spray respectively with basal dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O) [28-36].

**Table 2. Days required to attain tipping height due to various treatment of Nano Urea**

Treatment	Mean number of days
T_0	58.00
T_1	54.67
T_2	53.67
T_3	52.00
T_4	51.33
T_5	55.67
T_6	54.00
T_7	52.00
T_8	51.67

3.2.3 Green leaf yield

Green leaf yield (kg/ha) of tea after application of Nano Urea in various treatments are presented in the Fig 3. After first application of Nano Urea, the highest green leaf yield was recorded in

Fig. 2. Fine leaf (%) after 1st ,2nd and rain flush after application of Nano Urea

Fig. 3. Green leaf yield (kg/ha) of tea after 1st, 2nd and 3rd application of Nano Urea

treatment T_7 (154.33 kg/ha) (0.4% Nano Urea × 3 spray + basal dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O as recommended). But there were no significant differences amongst the treatments after the 1st application of Nano Urea in green leaf yield of tea [37-46].

Both after second and third application, the green leaf yield showed statistical significance difference over the control. After second application of Nano Urea, the highest green leaf yield was found in treatment T_7 (380.67 kg/ha with 0.4% Nano Urea × 3 spray + basal dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O as recommended) which is *at par* with treatment T_8 (368 kg/ha) (Nano Urea was applied 0.5% Nano Urea × 3 spray with recommended dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O)[47-49].

After third application of Nano Urea the highest green leaf yield was recorded in treatment T_7 (493 kg/ha with 0.4% Nano Urea × 3 spray + recommended dose of P_2O_5 & K_2O) and lowest yield was recorded in treatment T_0 (385kg/ha)[50,51].

Nitrogen application increases the vegetative growth of the plants. Harvested part of tea is leaves *i.e.,* vegetative part so the yield of tea is increased with increasing dose of nitrogen. Majid *et al.* (2017) observed yield traits and yield of maize increased with increasing dose of nitrogen level. Rajguru et al. (2020) observed that after application of urea nanohybrid, yield of tea increased [52-54].

4. CONCLUSION

The application of Nano Urea, pruned branches can be brought earlier due to the faster growth of

primaries. The yield and yield attributes show significant improvement at the application of Nano Urea 0.4%.

Higher application may adversely affect the quality of Nano Urea. Present investigation shows that recommended dose Nano Urea was economically beneficial than the conventional urea. The present findings shows that Nano Urea effect the growth and yield of tea. It increased both growth and yield as compared to conventional urea. Nano Urea was applied through foliar application so, efficiency was more and it reduced losses to the environment. It was a slow releasing fertilizer also target specific, good for sustainable crop production. As leaching of urea is one of the main problems in the crop field which leads to soil and water pollution, for that prospect the nano urea is a environment friendly product.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Han WY, Man LF, Shi YZ, Ruan JY. Kemmitt S. Nitrogen release dynamics and transformation of slow-release fertiliser products and their effects on tea yield and

quality. Journal of The Science of Food and Agriculture. 2008;88 (5):839-846.

2. Ma L, Yang, X, Shi Y, Yi X, Ji L, Ni YC. K. Response of tea yield, quality and soil bacterial characteristics to long term nitrogen fertilization in an eleven-year field experiment. Applied Soil Ecology. 2021;166:103976.

3. Walling E, Vaneeckhaute C. Nitrogen fertilizers and the environment. Publisher: CRC PRESS Taylor and Francis; 2022.

4. Mohan SS, Venkat R, Ajay A. Applications of Nano Fertilizers in Indian Agriculture. Agriculture & Food E-Newsletter. 2022;4(5):341-343.

5. Hong J, Wang C, Wagner DC, Torresdey JLG, Rico CM. (). Foliar application of nanoparticles: mechanisms of absorption transfer and multiple impacts. Environmental Science: Nano. 2021;8(5): 1196-1210.

6. Lakshman K, Chandrakala M, Prasad P. NS.; Babu GP, Srinivas T, Naik NR. Korah A. Liquid Nano-Urea: An Emerging Nano Fertilizer Substitute for Conventional Urea. Chronicle of Bioresource Management. 2022;6(2):54-59.

7. Kumar Y, Singh T, Raliya R, Tiwari KN. Nano Fertilizers for Sustainable Crop Production, Higher Nutrient Use Efficiency and Enhanced Profitability. Indian Journal of Fertilisers. 2021;17(11):1206-1214.

8. Barman TS, Handique, A. C. and Saikia, J. K. Effect of nitrogen manuring on growth rate of tea shoots. Two and a Bud. 1999;46(1):28-31.

9. Adivappar N, Naik MK. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on ginger productivity. Journal of spices and Aromatic Crops. 2021;30(2):200-203.

10. Anon Toyomasa Effects of nitrogen fertilizer on the constituents of new shoots in tea cultivars 'Yabukita', 'Sayamakaori', 'Kanayamidori' and 'Meiryoku'. Chagyo Kenkyu Hokoku (Tea Research Journal). 2003;96:33-47.

11. Bala N, Dey A, Das S, Basu R. Nandy P. Effect of hydroxyapatite nanorod on chickpea (Cicer arietinum) plant growth and its possible use as nano fertilizer. Iranian Jornal of plant physiology. 2014;4 (3):1061-1069.

12. Baruah D. Effect of long-term application of nitrogen fertilization on yield of tea. Two and a Bud. 1990;37:1-3.

13. Below FE. Nitrogen metabolism and crop productivity. Handbook of Plant and Crop Physiology. 2001;18:384-406.

14. Bharud RW, Deshmukh KV, Pinjari MB. and Patil RB. Effect of foliar application of chemicals/ fertilizers on the growth and yield attributes of summer groundnut. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities (India). 1986;11(3):324-325.

15. Bremner JM. Recent research on problems in the use of urea as a nitrogen fertilizer. Nitrogen Economy In Tropical Soils. 1995;69:321-329.

16. Canovas FM, Canas RA. Torre FN.; Pascual MB, Rodrguez VC, Avila C. (). Nitrogen metabolism and Biomass production in forest trees. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2018;9:1449.

17. Cechin I ,Fumis TF. Effect of nitrogen supply on growth and photosynthesis of sunflower plants grown in the greenhouse. Plant Science. 2004;166 (5):1379-138.

18. Chiang YH. Foliar application of urea and manganese to tea bushes. Journal of Agricultural Chemistry. 1960;9:19-25.

19. Fathi A. Role of nitrogen (N) in plant growth, photosynthesis pigments, and N use efficiency: A review. Agrisost. 2022;28: 1-8

20. Gebrewold AZ. Review on integrated nutrient management of tea (Camellia sinensis L.). Cogent Food & Agriculture. 2008;4:1543536.

21. Ghasemi VM, Moghaddam SS, Rahimi A, Pourakbar L, Djordjevic JP. Winter cultivation and nano fertilizers improve yield components and antioxidant traits of dragon's head (Lallemantia iberica (M.B.) Fischer and Meyer). Plants. 2020;9(2): 252.

22. Ghosh SK, Bera T. Molecular mechanism of nano fertilizer in plant growth and development: A recent account. Advances in Nano-Fertilizer And Nano-Pesticides In Agriculture. 2021;22: 535-560.

23. Harper JE. Nitrogen metabolism. Physiology And Determination Of Crop Yield. 1994;11(A):285-302.

24. Hchami SHJ, Alrawi TK. Nano fertilizer, benefits and effects on fruit trees: A review. Plant Archives. 2020;20(1):1085-1088.

25. Hoshina T, Kozai S, Ishigaki K. Foliar absorption of ^{15}N labelled urea by tea plant. Chagyo Gijutsu Kenkyu (Study of tea). 1978;54:33-36.
Available:https://www.iffco.in

26. Huang W, Lin M, Liao J, Li A, Tsewang, W, Chen X, Sun B. Effect of potassium deficiency on the growth of tea (*Camellia sinensis*) and strategies for optimizing potassium levels in soil: A critical review. Horticulturae. 2022;8(7):660.

27. Jat G. Kacha H. Response of Guava to foliar application of urea and zinc on fruit set, yield and quality. Journal of Agri Search. 2014;1(2):86-91.

28. Jayaraman V. Fertilizer use in tea in South India. Fertil News. 1962;7(8):7-17.

29. Juthery HWA, Habeeb KH, Altaee FJK.; Taey DKA. Tawaha ARM. Effect of foliar application of different sources of nano-fertilizers on growth and yield of wheat. Journal Of Innovative Scientific Information And Services Network. 2018;15 (4):3988-3997.

30. Khan MR, Rizvi TF. Application of nano fertilizer and nano pesticides for improvements in crop production and protection. Nanoscience and plant soil systems. 2017;48:405-427.

31. Khan, P.; Memon, M. Y.; Imtiaz, M. and Aslam M. Response of wheat to foliar and soil application of urea at different growth stages. Pak. J. Bot. 2009;41(3):1197-1204.

32. Khemira H, Sanchez E, Righetti TL. Azarenko AN. Phytotoxicity of urea and biuret sprays to apple foliage. *Journal of plant nutrition.* 2008;23:35-40.

33. Krishnapillai S. Effect of forms and levels of nitrogen on the growth and accumulation of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and calcium by young tea plants (*Camellia sinensis* L.) grown in sand culture. *Tea quarterly.* 1981;50(40): 163-169.

34. Kulasegaram S, Kathiravetpillai A. Studies on dormancy of tea shoots. 3. The effect of fertilizer levels and hormones. Tea Quaterly. 1971;42:57-74.

35. Leghari SJ, Wahocho NA, Laghari GM.; Laghari, AH, Bhabhan GM.; Talpur, K. H.; Bhutto TA.; Wahocho SA. Lashari, AA. Role of nitrogen for plant growth and development. A Review. Advances in Environmental Biology. 2016;10(9):209-218.

36. Liu MY, Tang D, Shi Y, Ma L, Zhang, Q. Ruan J. Foliar N application on tea plant at its dormancy stage increase the N concentration of mature leaves and improves the quality and yield of spring tea. Frontiers In Plant Science. 2021;12: 753086.

37. Mahil EIT, Kumar BNA. Foliar application of nano fertilizers in agricultural crops- A review. Journal Of Farm Science. 2019;32 (3): 239-249.

38. Majid MA, Islam MS, Sabagh AE.; Hasan, MK, Saddam MO.; Barutcular C.; Ratnasekera, D.; Abdelaal, A. A. and Islam, MS. Influence of varying nitrogen levels on growth, yield and nitrogen use efficiency of hybrid maize (*Zea mays*). Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences. 2017;5 (2):134-142.

39. Merghany, M.; Shahein, M. M.; Sliem, M. A.; Abdelgawad, K. F. and Radwan, A. F. (2019) Effect of nano fertilizer on cucumber plant growth, fruit yield and it's quality. *Plant archives.* 19 (2): 165-172.

40. Mohanraj J, Subramanian A. Lakshman. Role of nano fertilizer on greenhouse gas emission in rice soil ecosystem. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2019;106: 657-663.

41. Mollah MAF, Khan MA, Tareq MZ, Rafiq Z. A. Mozammel M. Effect of foliar fertilization on growth and yield of Jute. *Bangladesh J.* Environ. Sci. 201936: 11-14.

42. Mondal AB, Mamun AA. Effect of foliar application of urea on the growth and yield of tomato. Frontiers of Agriculture in China. 2011;5 (3):372-374.

43. Mondal MM.A,Puteh AB, Malek MA. Roy S. Effect of foliar application of urea on physiological characters and yield of soyabean. Legume Research. An International Journal. 2012;35(3):202-206.

44. Mondal MMA, Rahman MA, Akter, M. B. Fakir MSA. Effect of foliar application of nitrogen and micronutrients on growth and yield in mungbean. Legume Research: An International Journal. 2011;34(3):166-171.

45. Prasad H, Prasad D, Bhan C, Bairwa, S. K. and Babu, S. Effect of foliar application of urea, zinc sulphate, and 2, 4-D on kinnow mandarin: A review. Journal of Progressive Agriculture. 2013;4(1):148-153.

46. Raguraj S, Wijayathunga WMS. Gunaratne, GP, Amali RKA.; Priyadarshna G.; Sandaruwan, C. and Karunaratne, G. (). Urea- hydroxyapatite nanohybride as an efficient nutrient source in *Camellia sinensis* (L.) Kuntze (tea). Journal of plant Nutrition. 2020;43(15):2383-2394.

47. Rajonee AA, Nigar F, Ahmed S, Huq SMI. Synthesis of nitrogen nano fertilizer and its

efficacy. *Canadian* Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences. 2016;10 (2): 3913-3919.

48. Rathnayaka RMNN, Mahendran S, Iqbal YB, Rifnas LM. Influence of urea and nano nitrogen fertilizers on the growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivar 'Bg 250'. International Journal of Research Publications. 2018;5 (2): 7-7.

49. Roggatz U, Mc.Donald AJS, Stadenberg I. Schurr U. Effect of nitrogen deprivation on cell division and expansion of *Ricinus communis* L. Plant Cell and Environment. 2002;22 (1):81-89.

50. Sarbijan AR, Broomand, N. and Zaherara, T. Effect of nitrogen and zinc foliar application on quantitative traits of tea Rosslle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) in Jiroft zone. Journal of Horticulture Science. 2016;30 (1):93-101.

51. Singh MD, Chirag G, Prakash PO, Mohan MH, Vishwajith. Nano fertilizer is a new way to increase nutrients use efficiency in crop production. International Journal Of Agricultural Science. 2017;9(7):3831-3833.

52. Yang X, Ma, L.; Shi, Y.; Yi, X.; Ji, L.; Cheng Y, Ni K, Ruan J. Response of tea yield, quality and soil bacterial characteristics to long-term nitrogen fertilization in an eleven-year field experiment. Applied Soil Ecology. 2021;166:103976.

53. Zatylny AM, Pierre RG. Nitrogen uptake, leaf nitrogen concentration, and growth of saskatoons in response to soil nitrogen fertility. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 2006;29 (2):209-218.

54. Zulfiquar F, Navarro M, Ashraf M, Akram NA, Bosch SM. Nano fertilizer use for sustainable agriculture: Advantages and limitation. Plant Science. 2019;289: 1102070.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107352