



Serum Calcium Channel Alpha2 Delta1 $\alpha_2\delta_1$ Subunit as a Diagnostic and a Predictive Marker of Therapeutic Ablation Outcome for Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Cirrhotic Hepatitis C Patients

Ayat Ismail Ferra ^{a*}, Galal El-Din Moustafa El-Kassas ^a,
Sahar Mohey El-Din Hazzaa ^b and Mohammed Yousef Rabea ^a

^a Tropical Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Egypt.

^b Clinical Pathology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Egypt.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMMR/2022/v34i2131547

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/90848>

Original Research Article

Received 08 June 2022
Accepted 16 August 2022
Published 23 August 2022

ABSTRACT

Background: Hepatocellular carcinoma is the 7th common cancer worldwide. Its diagnosis depends mainly on abdominal ultrasound and serum alpha-fetoprotein. The alpha2 delta1 ($\alpha_2\delta_1$) subunit is excessively presented in Tumor Initiating Cells (TICs) of HCC, and is mandatory in calcium transport, which is important to maintain TICs properties. The aim was to assess serum $\alpha_2\delta_1$ subunit as a diagnostic marker and for follow up of hepatocellular carcinoma patients after ablation in comparison to alpha-fetoprotein.

Methods: This study was performed on 60 subjects. They were divided into three groups; Group I: included 20 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, Group II: included 20 patients without hepatocellular carcinoma, and Group III: included 20 healthy individuals as control ones. Serum $\alpha_2\delta_1$ subunit was measured in all subjects and followed up in group I 3 months after ablation.

Results: Serum $\alpha_2\delta_1$ subunit was significantly higher in group I than either group II or group III with a cut off value >100 ng/mL (sensitivity 80%, specificity 100%), and was decreasing after ablation in well ablated cases in the period of follow up.

Conclusion: $\alpha_2\delta_1$ subunit is a useful marker in diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma, also in follow up after ablation.

*Corresponding author;

Keywords: Hepatocellular carcinoma; $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit; tumor initiating cells.

ABBREVIATIONS

AFP	: Alfa Fetoprotein
ALT	: Alanine Aminotransferase
ANOVA	: Analysis of Variance
AST	: Aspartate Aminotransferase
CBC	: Complete Blood Picture
CSC	: Cancer Stem Cell
CT	: Computed Tomography
ELISA	: Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay
GP73	: Golgi Protein 7
GPC-3	: Gypican-3
GSP	: Glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol
HBV	: Hepatitis B Virus
HCC	: Hepatocellular Carcinoma
HCV	: Hepatitis C Virus
INR	: International Normalized Ratio
MRI	: Magnetic Resonance Imaging
MWA	: Microwave Ablation
NPV	: Negative Predictive Value
PPV	: Positive Predictive Value
RFA	: Radiofrequency Ablation
ROC	: Receiver Operating Characteristics
TICs	: Tumor Initiating Cells
VGCC	: Voltage-gated Calcium Channels
$\alpha 2\delta$: Alpha 2 Delta

1. INTRODUCTION

“Primary liver cancer is one of the most common malignancies over the world and represents the seventh-most frequently occurring cancer” [1]. “Globally, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the main type of liver cancer which accounts more than 75% of all liver cancers” [2].

“Curative treatments are only available at an early stage, where the 5-year survival is 50–70%. In contrast, patients presenting with advanced HCC are only eligible for palliative treatments and have a poor outcome with a median survival of less than 1 year” [3]. “Therefore, early detection of HCC is crucial in increasing survival” [4].

“The early screening of HCC depends on imaging techniques including mainly ultrasonography and laboratory tests involving mainly serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP)” [5].

“Although ultrasound has improved in recent years, it has visualization limitations in patients with obesity, steatosis and advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis, also it is an operator-dependent procedure in addition, it fails to detect small

tumors” [6]. “As well, the accuracy of AFP as a diagnostic biomarker for the screening of HCC patients at the early stage is modest with the sensitivity of 60% to 80% and with the specificity of 70% to 90%” [7].

“The roles of ion channels in various cell functions, including mitogenesis, cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis and metastasis are now well recognized” [8]. “Voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCC) exist throughout the body and perform many physiological functions. In cancer cells, VGCCs are involved in several of the cancer hallmarks as sustaining proliferative signaling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, metastasis and evading immune destruction” [9].

“The alpha 2 delta ($\alpha 2\delta$) proteins are auxiliary subunits of voltage-gated calcium channels, and were found to be encoded by many different genes” [10]. The overexpression of $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit was attributed to maintain properties of the liver Tumor Initiating Cells (TICs) through regulation of Ca^{2+} influx [11].

The aim of the present work was to study serum calcium channel alpha2 delta1 subunit as a diagnostic and a predictive marker of therapeutic ablation outcome for hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic hepatitis C patients.

2. PATIENTS AND METHODS

This prospective cohort study was carried out on 60 subjects from the outpatient clinic and inpatient of Tropical Medicine and Infectious Diseases Department at Tanta University Hospitals from December 2018 to February 2021. Written consents were obtained from all individuals and the study was approved by the ethical committee.

Patients were classified into three groups: group I included 20 HCC hepatitis C cirrhotic patients before and three months after ablation, group II included 20 hepatitis C cirrhotic patients without HCC and group III included 20 healthy individuals as a control group. We included patients with the following criteria; Age >18 years, performance status (PS) ≤ 2 according to Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, in addition to Child–Pugh classification A, HCV positive cirrhotic patients, Liver cirrhosis diagnosed by physical examination, laboratory investigations and imaging evidence of cirrhosis.

Ultrasonography was used for the initial detection of hepatic focal lesions and triphasic computed tomography (CT) scans or dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was used to confirm the diagnosis of HCC. Staging of HCC was evaluated according to BCLC. The first group underwent loco regional therapy by either microwave ablation (MWA) or radiofrequency ablation (RFA) and followed up over a period of three months by triphasic CT scan, AFP & $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit.

The patients with the following conditions were excluded; Metastatic tumors of the liver, other malignancies anywhere in the body, patients not candidate for loco regional therapy were ruled out from first group and HCC causes other than HCV.

2.1 Methodology

All cases were subjected to complete history taking and abdominal examination. Full laboratory investigations were done in all cases including; CBC, serum ALT, AST, INR and prothrombin time, serum albumin, serum bilirubin, HCV Ab, HBs Ag or Anti HBc (total) for HBV by ELISA technique and AFP.

2.2 Determination of Serum Level of $\alpha 2\delta 1$ Subunit

Serum calcium channel alpha2 delta1 ($\alpha 2\delta 1$) subunit was estimated from peripheral blood samples by ELISA kits (catalog No. 201126958 China) in all groups and three months after ablation in group I. To perform the assessment, 3ml of venous blood was collected from all patients by clean venipuncture using plastic disposable syringes. Centrifuge was at 2000–3000 rpm for 20 min., the samples were frozen and stored at - 80°C until use.

2.3 Loco-regional Ablation of HCC

“The procedure was done in a special sterilized unit containing the ultrasound machine” (Siemens, Toshiba).

First of all, patients were fasting 6 hours. Sterilization of skin was made using betadine and alcohol. Local anesthesia was performed by 10 ml of 2% xylocaine. It was along the needle track from the entry site on the skin to the liver capsule.

RFA was made using The RITA ® Model 1500x RF,” produced by Angio-Dynamics, USA”. As an

RFA session began, a hyperechoic focus developed around the uninsulated portion of the electrode. This was attributed to tissue vaporization and cavitations. The area of echogenicity was round; most often progressively increased in size over the course of ablation and generally enveloped the entire tumor with variable extensions in the surrounding liver by the end of the treatment. When the time was over, the generator automatically went into cool down mode for 30 seconds (5minutes on the generator display), when the Cool Down was complete, the temperatures from all leads had to be above 70°C. In all cases, tract ablation was done before removal of the needle.

As regards MWA the microwave needle (AMICA™ - MW Ablation System) was inserted deep in the lesion avoiding big vessels and surrounding viscera. Ablation was done using 80 Watt for 10 minutes. When ablation was completed needle track ablation was done to avoid post procedural bleeding.

Strong IV analgesics were given as pethidine hydrochloride 50 mg or tramadol, intravenous antiemetic was given if needed and prophylactic antibiotic was given as, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid. All patients were observed clinically for 2-3 hours.

In this study 12 patients were well ablated following loco regional therapy by either RFA or microwave and no recurrence or de novo lesions appeared till three months of follow up with cure rate 60%. Recurrent and de novo lesions appeared in 8 patients and required second session of ablation.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS 22 and SigmaStat 4.0. Mean comparisons were done using analysis of variance (ANOVA) test followed by Post Hoc test. For comparison of data, the Chi square test was performed. Spearman's rank correlation test was used to detect associations between different variables. Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curve was plotted and cut off values of both $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit, and AFP was calculated with sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value. For all testes, P value ≤ 0.05 are considered significant.

3. RESULTS

Group I comprised 20 patients with HCC (15 males and 5 females; mean age, 51.40 ± 7.089 years old). Before ablation 100% of patients were Child A and in three months follow up period 90% were Child A and 10% were Child B.

Group II comprised 20 patients with HCV cirrhosis (8 males and 12 females; mean age, 57.75 ± 11.23 years old).

Group III comprised 20 apparently healthy volunteers (16 males and 4 females, mean age, 48.25 ± 14.41 years old). All participants were sero-negative for HCV and HBV antibodies, with normal clinical; laboratory, and radiological findings. Demographic data was demonstrated in the Table 1.

As regards laboratory data, there were statistically significant differences between group I and group II as regards to HB, serum albumin, AST and total bilirubin while there were no statistically significant differences between the two groups as regards to ALT and platelet Table 1.

3.1 Detection of Serum $\alpha 251$ Subunit for Diagnosis and Prediction of Ablation Outcome of HCC

$\alpha 251$ subunit level was significantly higher in group I (mean level was; 182.3) than group II (mean level was; 61.3) and higher in group I than group III (mean level was; 14.7) ($P < 0.001$). $\alpha 251$ subunit level was higher in group II than group III however there was no statistical significant difference in-between the two groups ($P = 0.076$). Table 3. In group I, a significant positive correlation was detected between AFP and $\alpha 251$ subunit ($r = 0.640$) ($P = 0.002$). In addition; both AFP and $\alpha 251$ subunit were positively correlated with serum creatinine ($r = 0.663$) ($P = 0.001$), ($r = 0.487$) ($P = 0.029$) respectively. Table 2.

In Assessment of prognostic value of AFP and $\alpha 251$ subunit in follow up after ablation, AFP level was significantly decreasing in the follow up period after ablation in well ablated cases. Mean baseline of $\alpha 251$ subunit, was significantly decreasing in the follow up periods after ablation in well ablated cases, but not significantly decreasing in the follow up period in de novo and residual cases ($P = 0.028$ & 0.758 respectively). $\alpha 251$ subunit mean baseline in well ablated

cases was (130.333 ± 48.944), after three months it was (93.367 ± 43.186) ($P = 0.028$), while its baseline level in de novo and residual cases was (260.388 ± 136.842), after three months it was (238.046 ± 131.555) ($P = 0.758$) Table 4.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was plotted to discriminate between HCC group and cirrhotic liver group. Cut – off values for AFP and $\alpha 251$ subunit were calculated (with sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value). $\alpha 251$ subunit: (cut off value 100 ng/mL, sensitivity 80%, specificity 100%, positive predictive value 100% and negative predictive value 83.3%), and AFP level: (cut off value 70 ng/mL, sensitivity 45%, specificity 100%, positive predictive value 100% and negative predictive value 63.1%) (Table 5 and Fig. 1).

4. DISCUSSION

“Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is nowadays one of the most frequent malignancies and a leading cancer-related death worldwide” [12]. “It is estimated that, by 2025, >1 million individuals will be affected by liver cancer annually” [13]. “Over 90% of HCC cases occur in the setting of chronic liver disease. Cirrhosis from any aetiology is the strongest risk factor for HCC” [14]. “The transition from chronic liver disease to cirrhosis involves inflammation and activation of hepatic stellate cells with ensuing fibrogenesis and angiogenesis” [15]. “Surveillance for HCC is recommended in all patients with cirrhosis and has been associated with improved early detection and survival” [16].

“Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) is the most commonly used biomarker for HCC surveillance” [17]. “Despite the disadvantage of low sensitivity, low specificity and also limited accuracy in HCC early diagnosis, AFP has still been recommended as a serum biomarker for diagnosis of HCC in clinical practice” [18]. There is a clinical need to discover novel biomarkers for HCC that fully correlate with the tumor stage; can be detected in early HCC; and allow for tumor surveillance and prognosis [19].

“Voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCC) exist throughout the body and are required for many key functions” [20]. “The expression of ion channel transcripts has been highlighted as a potential biomarker of certain types of cancer, including prostate cancer, HCC and breast

Table 1. Comparison between demographic and laboratory data of the three groups

		Groups						Chi-Square		Tukey's Test					
		Group I		Group II		Group III		X ²	P-value	I&II	I&III	II&III			
		N	%	N	%	N	%								
Sex	Male	15	75.00	8	40.00	16	80.00	8.352	0.015*	-	-	-			
	Female	5	25.00	12	60.00	4	20.00								
ANOVA								F	P-value	I&II	I&III	II&III			
Age	Range	41	-	62	40	-	80	23	-	70	3.697	0.031*	0.184	0.652	0.026*
	Mean ±SD	51.400	±	7.089	57.750	±	11.050	48.250	±	14.411					
Hb%	Range	10.7	-	16	7	-	12	10.8	-	15	55.458	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.979	<0.001*
	Mean ±SD	13.610	±	1.442	9.600	±	1.364	13.525	±	1.319					
TLC	Range	2.8	-	11	1.4	-	11	4	-	12	2.010	0.143			
	Mean ±SD	5.520	±	1.892	4.391	±	2.477	5.570	±	1.888					
Platelets	Range	48	-	228	31	-	212	132	-	280	57.645	<0.001*	0.066	<0.001*	<0.001*
	Mean ±SD	117.550	±	38.042	89.650	±	41.345	214.450	±	36.183					
S. Albumin	Range	3.5	-	4.5	2.2	-	4.1	3.5	-	5	50.578	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.039*	<0.001*
	Mean ±SD	3.915	±	0.300	2.845	±	0.572	4.290	±	0.500					
ALT	Range	15	-	66	19	-	63	12	-	30	17.740	<0.001*	0.420	<0.001*	<0.001*
	Mean ±SD	40.350	±	11.758	35.650	±	15.832	19.300	±	4.900					
AST	Range	25	-	87	16	-	68	19	-	37	14.739	<0.001*	0.009*	<0.001*	0.059
	Mean ±SD	49.600	±	19.047	35.850	±	13.819	25.400	±	6.778					
T.B.	Range	0.7	-	1.5	0.8	-	4.3	0.7	-	1.1	12.860	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.918	<0.001*
	Mean ±SD	1.030	±	0.178	1.890	±	1.106	0.949	±	0.114					
D.B	Range	0.11	-	0.5	0.1	-	1.8	0.1	-	0.23	10.931	<0.001*	0.001*	0.901	<0.001*
	Mean ±SD	0.202	±	0.089	0.617	±	0.588	0.155	±	0.044					
INR	Range	1	-	1.5	1	-	2.4	1	-	1.29	16.886	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.365	<0.001*
	Mean ±SD	1.196	±	0.179	1.503	±	0.347	1.097	±	0.083					
S. Cr.	Range	0.7	-	1.4	0.7	-	1.3	0.7	-	1.3	2.162	0.124			
	Mean ±SD	0.893	±	0.190	0.980	±	0.177	1.009	±	0.185					
S. Urea	Range	18	-	78	13	-	40	13	-	40	1.483	0.235			
	Mean ±SD	28.350	±	14.232	25.850	±	7.066	23.000	±	6.113					

Table 2. Pearson correlation between $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit, Alpha-fetoprotein levels and other parameters

Correlations	Group I				Group II			
	$\alpha 2\delta 1$		AFP		$\alpha 2\delta 1$		AFP	
	R	P-value	R	P-value	R	P-value	R	P-value
AFP	0.640	0.002*			0.279	0.234		
Age	0.248	0.292	-0.172	0.468	0.007	0.976	-0.068	0.776
Hb%	0.028	0.906	0.153	0.519	0.076	0.749	-0.133	0.576
TLC	0.221	0.348	0.397	0.083	0.307	0.188	0.541	0.014*
Platelets	0.116	0.627	0.241	0.306	0.114	0.632	0.109	0.648
S. Albumin	-0.128	0.590	0.150	0.529	0.226	0.337	-0.299	0.201
ALT	0.177	0.455	0.139	0.560	-0.403	0.078	-0.027	0.911
AST	0.421	0.065	0.593	0.006*	-0.360	0.118	-0.427	0.060
T.B.	-0.172	0.468	-0.138	0.563	0.128	0.591	0.353	0.127
D.B	-0.175	0.460	-0.135	0.572	0.021	0.929	0.342	0.139
INR	0.309	0.184	-0.111	0.640	-0.157	0.508	0.218	0.355
S. Cr.	0.663	0.001*	0.487	0.029*	-0.081	0.733	-0.358	0.121
MELD	0.404	0.078	-0.051	0.830	-0.041	0.865	0.207	0.380
FL Size CT	0.045	0.850	-0.037	0.877	-	-	-	-

Table 3. Comparison between the three studied groups as regards AFP and $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit

		Groups									ANOVA		TUKEY'S Test		
		Group I			Group II			Group III			F	P-value	I&II	I&III	II&III
AFP	Range	3.6	-	2400	1.5	-	70	1	-	10	9.983	<0.001*	0.001*	0.001*	0.993
	Mean \pm SD	698.870	\pm	969.544	23.800	\pm	18.766	4.155	\pm	2.645					
$\alpha 2\delta 1$	Range	78.7	-	477	22.9	-	100	1.5	-	33	34.097	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.076
	Mean \pm SD	182.355	\pm	112.066	61.305	\pm	23.779	14.775	\pm	6.658					

Table 4. Assessment of prognostic value of $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit in follow up after ablation

$\alpha 2\delta 1$		Triphasic CT findings					T-Test		
		Well ablated lesions		Residuals			t	P-value	
Before	Range	78.7	-	210	143.5	-	477	-3.047	0.007*
	Mean \pm SD	130.333	\pm	48.944	260.388	\pm	136.842		
After	Range	40	-	145	71.8	-	393.09	-3.573	0.002*
	Mean \pm SD	93.367	\pm	43.186	238.046	\pm	131.555		
Differences	Mean \pm SD	36.967	\pm	50.687	22.341	\pm	197.474		
Paired Test	P-value	0.028*			0.758				

Table 5. Diagnostic performance of $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit and Alpha-fetoprotein for discrimination of HCC from chronic liver disease cases

ROC curve between Group I and Group II						
	Cutoff	Sens.	Spec.	PPV	NPV	Accuracy
$\alpha 2\delta 1$	>100	80.0	100.0	100.0	83.3	95.5%
AFP	>70	45.0	100.0	100.0	64.5	63.1%




Fig. 1. Receiver operating characteristic curves of $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit and alpha-fetoprotein for the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma

cancer” [21]. “The alpha2 delta1 ($\alpha 2/\delta 1$) subunit is a trans-membrane protein that contains glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchored protein, which affects the calcium channel function” [22].

“The VGCC $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit is a member of the $\alpha 2/\delta$ subunit family, and acts as a marker of tumor-initiating cells and controls calcium influx into liver tumor-initiating cells” [23].

In this study on comparing the three studied groups as regards to AFP, its level was significantly higher in group I than group II and higher in group I than group III, while no significant difference as regards AFP level was found between group II&III. Di Bisceglie, et al. [24] declared that “AFP in serum is currently available diagnostic marker for HCC and for patients with chronic liver disease, a sustained increase in AFP serum level was shown to be one of the risk factors of HCC development”.

On the other hand Singal, et al. [25] demonstrated that “the addition of AFP to ultrasound does not substantially improve the sensitivity of diagnosis of HCC, independent of the cut-off level used”.

In our study AFP was found normal in 11 cases of group I in the pretreatment period, meanwhile $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit was high. This explains the usefulness of $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit assay in AFP negative HCC, this was in agreement with Sherman. [26] who stated that AFP is not elevated in the majority of patients with early HCC.

Our results indicated that “ $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit level was significantly higher in group I than group II ($P < 0.001$) and higher in group I than group III ($P < 0.001$), also it was elevated in cirrhotic non HCC group (group II) than control group, however this did not pose statistical significance ($P = 0.07$)”. This was supported Badr, et al. [27] who found that “the serum level of the $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit was significantly higher with patients in group I (mean = 20.12 ng/mL) compared with the patients in group II (mean = 10.41 ng/mL) and group III”.

In agreement of our results the study done by Ahmed, et al. [28] which reported that “the serum levels of $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit were significantly different across all 3 groups ($P < 0.001$) with the highest value in HCC group (mean = 19.53 ± 6.87 ng/dl), then the cirrhotic group (mean = 6.24 ± 2.64 ng/dl) and the least value in control group (mean = 0.67 ± 0.48 ng/dl)”.

The most direct explanation of increase level of $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit in HCC patients is the study done by Ali et al. [29] who found that “changes in intracellular free Ca^{2+} concentrations play a central role in the hormonal regulation of liver metabolism and of the pathways which regulate hepatocyte proliferation and apoptosis”.

Also Zhang, et al. [30] confirmed that “numerous mutations in genes encoding Ca^{2+} -signaling proteins have been identified from HCC liver tissue, and a number of Ca^{2+} -signaling proteins are over expressed in HCC”. Zhao, et al. [16] identified “ Ca^{2+} -signaling proteins which appear to play important roles on the initiation and progression of HCC and which could be potential therapeutic targets. They used monoclonal antibody to identify cells related to HCC recurrence and to investigate the nature of these cells. 1B50-1 bound to an antigen on the Hep-12 cell membrane, while it recognized few Hep-11 cells. They noticed that Hep-12 cells which is 1B50- 1+ HCC specimens expressed high levels of $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ protein, while it was undetectable in Hep-11 cells”.

“In this study, there was no statistically significant correlation found between the serum level of the $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit and the clinicopathological features of patients except for serum creatinine, this is in agreement with” Badr, et al. [27]. “Our results revealed that there is a significant positive correlation between $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit and AFP ($R = 0.640$, $P = 0.002$), also this was in agreement with” Badr, et al. [27].

In the current study, there was a significant decrease in $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit level in well ablated lesions after ablation, this was in agreement with Zhao, et al. [16] who concluded that “ $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit and hence L- and N- type voltage-operated Ca^{2+} channels are expressed in tumor initiating cells of HCC, promote/maintain the self-renewal these cells. These findings contribute to the understanding of the role of $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit in HCC recurrence and prognosis”.

Zhao, et al. [16] identified that “targeted inhibition of $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit could induce apoptosis in liver cancer stem cells and hence reduce the development of HCC and which could be potential therapeutic targets”.

When the ROC curve was applied to select the cut-off value, the data revealed that the serum level of the $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit at the cut-off value > 100 ng/mL had a sensitivity of 80 %, a specificity of 100%, a positive predictive value (PPV) of 100%, and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 83.3%. The AUC showed a high accuracy for the $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit (95.5%).

Using 14.22 ng/ml as a cut-off value, Badr, et al. [27] concluded that “the $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit level had a sensitivity of 100 %, a specificity of 96%, a positive predictive value (PPV) of 98%, and a

negative predictive value (NPV) of 100%. So the serum level of $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit may be a novel diagnostic biomarker for HCC diagnosis”.

Ahmed, et al. [28] found that “serum $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit has a sensitivity of 95% and specificity of 80% at a level of ≥ 8.75 ng/dl with PPV of 82.6%, NPV of 94.1%, and accuracy of 87.5%, they demonstrated a higher level that gives more specificity of $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit was that at a cut-off of 12 ng/dl; sensitivity and specificity were 85% and 100%, respectively, with 100% PPV, 87% NPV, and 92.5% accuracy”.

5. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

Limitations of the current study should be considered. Firstly, small sample size, short duration follow up, added to that, HCV infected patients only have been enrolled in the present study and locoregional ablation was the only included modality in our work.

6. STRENGTHS OF THE STUDY

$\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit is a novel biomarker for HCC diagnosis that correlated positively with the presence of HCC and its level was elevated in cases with normal AFP level in addition its level in cirrhotic patient was significantly different with HCC patients. $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit level was significantly decreasing after intervention in well ablated HCC lesions which indicates its significance as a predictive marker of therapeutic outcome.

7. CONCLUSION

The present study suggests that serum $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit could serve as a potential diagnostic biomarker for HCC. $\alpha 2/\delta 1$ subunit could be used as a predictive marker of therapeutic ablation outcome in hepatocellular carcinoma patients.

CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

All participating subjects provided written informed consents. The study was approved in November 2018 by the Ethics Committee of the faculty of medicine, Tanta University code 32693/11/18.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018;68(6):394-424. DOI:10.3322/caac.21492, PMID 30207593.
2. Petrick JL, Kelly SP, Altekruse SF, McGlynn KA, Rosenberg PS. Future of hepatocellular carcinoma incidence in the United States forecast through 2030. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(15):1787-1794. DOI:10.1200/JCO.2015.64.7412, PMID 27044939.
3. Singal AG, Pillai A, Tiro J. Early detection, curative treatment, and survival rates for hepatocellular carcinoma surveillance in patients with cirrhosis: a meta-analysis. *PLOS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001624. DOI:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001624, PMID 24691105.
4. Tzartzeva K, Obi J, Rich NE, Parikh ND, Marrero JA, Yopp A, et al. Surveillance imaging and alpha fetoprotein for early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: A meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology.* 2018;154(6):1706-1718.e1. DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.01.064, PMID 29425931.
5. Huang JT, Liu SM, Ma H, Yang Y, Zhang X, Sun H et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis: circulating miRNAs for diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Cell Physiol.* 2016;231(2):328-335. DOI: 10.1002/jcp.25135, PMID 26291451.
6. Chernyak V, Fowler KJ, Kamaya A, Kiehl AZ, Elsayes KM, Bashir MR, et al. Liver imaging reporting and data system (LI-RADS) version 2018: Imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma in at-risk patients. *Radiology.* version 2018. 2018; 289(3):816-30. DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2018181494, PMID 30251931.
7. Lu M, Kong X, Wang H, Huang G, Ye C, He Z. A novel microRNAs expression signature for hepatocellular carcinoma diagnosis and prognosis. *Oncotarget.* 2017;8(5):8775-8784. DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.14452, PMID 28060739.

8. Long J, Zhang ZB, Liu Z, Xu YH, Ge CL. Loss of heterozygosity at the calcium regulation gene locus on chromosome 10q in human pancreatic cancer. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2015;16(6):2489-2493. DOI: 10.7314/apjcp.2015.16.6.2489, PMID 25824785.
9. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell.* 2011; 144(5):646-674. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013, PMID 21376230.
10. Dolphin AC. Calcium channel auxiliary $\alpha 2\delta$ and β subunits: Trafficking and one step beyond. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2012; 13(8):542-555. DOI: 10.1038/nrn3311, PMID 22805911.
11. Wang CY, Lai MD, Phan NN, Sun Z, Lin YC. Meta-analysis of public microarray datasets reveals voltage-gated calcium gene signatures in clinical cancer patients. *PLOS ONE.* 2015;10(7):e0125766. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0125766, PMID 26147197.
12. Llovet JM, Kelley RK, Villanueva A, Singal AG, Pikarsky E, Roayaie S, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Rev Dis Primers.* 2021;7(1):6. DOI: 10.1038/s41572-020-00240-3, PMID 33479224.
13. Ferlay J, Ervik M, Lam F, Colombet M, Mery L, Piñeros M, et al. Global cancer observatory. *Cancer Today.* 2018;1-6.
14. European Association for the study of the liver. Electronic address: easloffice@easloffice.eu, European Association for the Study of the Liver. *EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: management of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol.* EASL clinical practice guidelines. 2018;69(1):182-236. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.03.019, PMID 29628281.
15. Tsochatzis EA, Bosch J, Burroughs AK. Liver cirrhosis. *Lancet.* 2014;383(9930): 1749-1761. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60121-5, PMID 24480518.
16. Zhao W, Wang L, Han H, Jin K, Lin N, Guo T, et al. 1B50-1, a mAb raised against recurrent tumor cells, targets liver tumor-initiating cells by binding to the calcium channel $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit. *Cancer Cell.* 2013; 23(4):541-556. DOI: 10.1016/j.ccr.2013.02.025, PMID 23597567.
17. Terentiev AA, Moldogazieva NT. Alpha-fetoprotein: A renaissance. *Tumour Biol.* 2013;34(4):2075-2091. DOI: 10.1007/s13277-013-0904-y, PMID 23765762.
18. Tsuchiya N, Sawada Y, Endo I, Saito K, Uemura Y, Nakatsura T. Biomarkers for the early diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2015; 21(37):10573-10583. DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i37.10573, PMID 26457017.
19. Reichl P, Mikulits W. Accuracy of novel diagnostic biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma: an update for clinicians (Review). *Oncol Rep.* 2016;36(2):613-625. DOI: 10.3892/or.2016.4842, PMID 27278244.
20. Zamponi GW, Striessnig J, Koschak A, Dolphin AC. The physiology, pathology, and pharmacology of voltage-gated calcium channels and their future therapeutic potential. *Pharmacol Rev.* 2015;67(4):821-870. DOI:10.1124/pr.114.009654, PMID 26362469.
21. Azimi I, Roberts-Thomson SJ, Monteith GR. Calcium influx pathways in breast cancer: Opportunities for pharmacological intervention. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2014; 171(4):945-960. DOI: 10.1111/bph.12486, PMID 24460676.
22. Davies A, Kadurin I, Alvarez-Laviada A, Douglas L, Nieto-Rostro M, Bauer CS, et al. The $\alpha 2\delta$ subunits of voltage-gated calcium channels form GPI-anchored proteins, a posttranslational modification essential for function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010;107(4):1654-1659. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0908735107, PMID 20080692.
23. Davies A, Hendrich J, Van Minh AT, Wratten J, Douglas L, Dolphin AC. Functional biology of the $\alpha 2\delta$ subunits of voltage-gated calcium channels. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* 2007;28(5):220-228. DOI: 10.1016/j.tips.2007.03.005, PMID 17403543.
24. Di Bisceglie AM, Sterling RK, Chung RT, Everhart JE, Dienstag JL, Bonkovsky HL et al., ... & HALT-C trial group. Serum alpha-fetoprotein levels in patients with advanced hepatitis C: results from the HALT-C Trial. *J Hepatol.* 2005;43(3):434-441. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2005.03.019,

- PMID 16136646.
25. Singal A, Volk ML, Waljee A, Salgia R, Higgins P, Rogers MAM, et al. Meta-analysis: Surveillance with ultrasound for early-stage hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2009;30(1):37-47.
DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2009.04014.x, PMID 19392863.
 26. Sherman M. Alphafetoprotein: An obituary. *J Hepatol.* 2001;34(4):603-605.
DOI: 10.1016/s0168-8278(01)00025-3, PMID 11394662.
 27. Amhimmid Badr S, Waheeb Fahmi M, Mahmoud Nomir M, Mohammad El-Shishtawy M. Calcium channel $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit as a novel biomarker for diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Biol Med.* 2018;15(1):52-60.
DOI:10.20892/j.issn.2095-3941.2017.0167, PMID 29545968.
 28. Ahmed AE, Bayoumi E, Khayyal AE, Refaey A, Saied A, Elessawy H. Evaluation of diagnostic accuracy of serum calcium channel $\alpha 2\delta 1$ subunit in hepatocellular carcinoma-related cirrhosis. *Egypt Liver J.* 2020;10(1):1-6.
 29. Ali ES, Rychkov GY, Barritt GJ. Deranged hepatocyte intracellular Ca^{2+} homeostasis and the progression of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease to hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell Calcium.* 2019;82:102057.
DOI: 10.1016/j.ceca.2019.102057, PMID 31401389.
 30. Zhang Y, Qiu Z, Wei L, Tang R, Lian B, Zhao Y, et al. Integrated analysis of mutation data from various sources identifies key genes and signaling pathways in hepatocellular carcinoma. *PLOS ONE.* 2014;9(7):e100854.
DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0100854, PMID 24988079.

© 2022 Ferra et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/90848>