

Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International

33(60B): 2213-2218, 2021; Article no.JPRI.81015 ISSN: 2456-9119 (Past name: British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-2919, NLM ID: 101631759)

Effects of Light-Curing Techniques on Dental Resins - A Cross-Sectional Study

Saeed Awod Bin Hassan ^{a*}, Ayman Mousa A. Alfaifi ^b, and Abdulrahman Mohammed Nasser Otudi ^b

^a Saudi Board in Restorative Dentistry, Department of Restorative Dental Sciences, "RDS" College of Dentistry, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia.
^b College of Dentistry, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2021/v33i60B34866

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/81015

Original Research Article

Received 20 October 2021 Accepted 23 December 2021 Published 25 December 2021

ABSTRACT

Background: Commonly used dental resin composites are used as dental filling materials with the help of light induced polymerization reaction. The purpose of this cross-sectional research was to compare the results of different light intensities on the hardness of different dental composites. Following light treatment units were used.

- QTH also called Quartz Tungsten Halogen
- LED also called Light Emitting Diodes

Methods: This one-month cross-sectional, in-vitro study was carried out in the Dental Materials Laboratory in Saudi Arabia. Using non-probability, convenient sampling, a single trained operator prepared 60 dental restorative composites (DRC) samples in steel molds with a diameter of 10mm and each mold was 2mm thick. During the polymerization of DRCs, the effects of light intensities, sorption and solubility, and microhardness were all measured. SPSS was used for statistical analysis and a p-value of <0.05 was declared striking.

Results: When QTH and LED lamps were used, the average micro hardness of DRC was estimated to be 15.480.46 and 18.260.53, respectively. The mean light intensity of QTH was 434

[†]Dental Intern,

[#]Assistant Professor BDS;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: samhasan@kku.edu.sa;

mW/cm2 and for LED lights it was 925mW/cm2. There was no notable difference in DRC sorption and solubility capability (p=0.001) during the polymerization reaction (p=0.128). **Conclusion:** When it came to increasing the surface micro hardness of DRC, LED light was found to be more effective than QTH light.

Keywords: QTH; LED; dental resins; resin composites.

1. INTRODUCTION

The photoactivation method is the most preferred mode of polymerization in dental composition (DRC) [1]. The effectiveness resins of polymerization is necessary to obtain the physical properties. However, photoactivation has some limitations including the depth of restoration due to the hardtop surface [2]. Poor polymerization causes gap adverse pulpal effects and fails the restorative procedure. Effective polymerization is necessary not only to ensure the mechanical qualities but also necessary for reducing the risk of cytotoxicity due to [3]. polymerization material Polymerization effectiveness might be affected by many factors such as resin chemistry, shade, translucency, catalyst concentration, power density, the spectral distribution of the light source, irradiation time, Absorption coefficient and placement technique also affect the effectiveness in many ways [4]. However, evolution in light-curing units (LCUs) can achieve better results and is considered the best activation method. Generally, four LCU sources are applicable in a clinical setup [5]. These sources include quartz tungsten halogen (QTH) lamps, light-emitting diodes (LED) units, plasma-arc lamps, and argon-ion lasers. However, halogen lamps and LED LCUs are widely used for clinical settings due to their low cost [6]. Infrared energy is one of the main radiant outputs of QTH LCU'S which may be absorbed by dental composite resins leads to increased molecular vibration and consequently heat generation. However, these methods need filters to reduce the passage of infrared energy from the LCU to the tooth. Unfiltered infrared energy can result in heat generation at the pulp chamber [7]. Due to these limitations of QTH, LED (light-emitting diodes) units were developed. These units use junctions of doped semiconductors to generate light [8]. Before this, DRC was treated using QTH lights, which had the advantage of being economical and having a half-life of 40 to 100 hours and filters, and ventilation fans for cooling [9,10]. However, in the 1990s, light-emitting diodes (LEDs) were introduced, which had more remarkable and durable properties, such as a

half-life of around 10,000 hours, reduced curing time, and reduced heat from cordless light tips with no filters, because they emit light with a narrow beam, resulting in less heat generation [11,12]. LED light may polymerize the entire resin restorative material (total thickness).

In recent years the polymerization process in DRC from various light-curing devices results in significant heat generation [13]. Many studies have indicated that the heat produced by the polymerization reaction is responsible for negatively influencing the tooth pulp and can cause thermal harm to this vital tissue [14]. The heat released during this reaction is distributed to the pulp via the oral tissues surrounding it. During polymerization, increased heat in the pulp chamber has also been identified as a critical etiological element in DRC19-related injuries. When the temperature was raised to 5.6 degrees Celsius, Taher et al. found irreversible pulpal deterioration in 15% of the teeth under observation [15]. Therefore, it is advised that this heat liberation be measured to reduce the risk of thermal pulp injury during the resin composites curing reaction [15].

The current dental community is still unaware of the importance of employing cutting-edge technology while working with delicate dental materials for tooth repair.

For ultimate high strength and enduring dental restoration, compatibilities of QTH or LED with DRC must yet be investigated. Using QTH and LED lights, the study evaluated temperature variations, light intensities, sorption, solubility, and comparative microhardness in dental resin composites.

2. METHODOLOGY

This analytical, experimental, in-vitro study took a month to complete. The samples were prepared in Dental Materials Laboratory. Total of 60 DRC samples were made in steel molds using nonprobability, easy sampling. A single skilled operator mixed the material. These DRC samples were made in a disc shape cavity constructed in steel molds and set over the class slabs [16]. Thirty of the 60 created samples were then covered with a glass slide at the top before curing to ensure good material adaptation and a flat and smooth surface. The thermocouple wires were positioned 0.5 mm deep from the mold's base in the remaining ten samples. Forty seconds photoactivation was done by using QTH and LED. Ten samples with thermocouple wires were separated into two groups of five samples each to examine temperature variations (heat liberation) in the DRCs. After that, group A was exposed to QTH, whereas group B was subjected to LED. Before revealing the samples LCUs, the wires were linked to the Thermocouple unit of K type, SE 112 (BBC GOREZ Metrawatt, Austria). The tips of both curing lamps were in close contact with the DRC samples in the laboratory, which were kept at a constant temperature (370° celcius) and humidity. The initial temperature was recorded, followed by photoactivation and the measurement of the temperature peak. To compute the ultimate mean temperature change, four separate observations were taken in both group.

Both devices' intensity and degree of light penetration were assessed using ISO 4049, a standard mentioned by the "International Organization for Standardization." According to their profession, the power at the tip of the Light curing units (LCUs) was 300mW/cm², and the wavelength ranged from 400 to 515 nm. The penetration was 1.5 mm at this specified The mean intensity16,17 standard. was calculated using a 0 to 1000 mW/cm2 ranging analog radiometer "DigiRate, Monitex, Taiwan" that took four consecutive readings [17].

The tests for sorption and solubility were conducted according to the standard method ISO4049:198815, with water as the solvent and a constant energy density in the usual curing mode. The remaining 20 DRC samples (out of 60) were separated into two groups, each with ten samples. After curing, all samples were separated into two 20mm open glass bottles labeled A and B. These glass bottles were then placed in a desiccator that contained fresh silica. The desiccator was then placed in a 371°C oven for 24 hours. The desiccator was then taken out of the oven, and the glass bottles were placed on a bench at room temperature (25°C) for the following 24 hours. After the cycle was completed, all samples were weighed on a calibrated analytical balance. This technique was continued until the mass (M1) remained constant. After that, 10 mL of deionized water

was added to each glass container and baked for seven days at 371°C. After seven days, the glass bottles were taken out of the oven and placed at room temperature (25°C). Specimens were taken from the glass bottle and dried using absorbent paper to compute the M2 (mass after storage). The weight of these specimens determined the value of M2.

To obtain a constant weight, these specimens were put in a fresh silica-containing desiccator and processed the same as M1. After the evaporation of water, the resultant stable weight is now M3. The following equations: Wsp =M2-M3/V; WsI = M1-M3/V, was used to evaluate the water sorption and solubility capabilities of DRC. Wsp indicates the sorption, Wsl indicates solubility, M1 is the starting mass, M2 is the mass after seven days of storage with water, and denotes the final mass after water M3 evaporation [18]. The groups of all three specimens were measured in milligrams, whereas the specimen volume was measured in mm^{3} .

To determine the microhardness, 15 non-wired samples were assigned to Group A and cured with QTH light, whereas the rest of the samples (n=15) were designated as Group B and cured with LED light. After treatment, the pieces were stored for 24 hours in a dark jar filled with distilled water (to avoid light response). The Micro Vickers Hardness Testing Machine was used to test the samples. Each sample had four notches cut out for testing, and the mean was calculated [19]. The study used version 21 of the Statistical Package for Social Sciences. It included descriptive statistics and two-sample independent t-tests to determining the mean difference between the two groups. A p-value of < 0.05 was declared significant.

3. RESULTS

When QTH and LED lamps were used as light sources, the mean temperature change during the polymerization reaction (Table 1) revealed a non-significant difference in the mean temperature change. DRC's mean surface microhardness was determined utilizing (Table 1). When two different lights were used, QTH light with the results of an independent t-test a significant difference demonstrated (pvalue=0.000) in the mean surface microhardness of the sample material. DRC sorption and solubility characteristics (Table 2) revealed a statistically negligible difference between the two samples (p=0.001).

Groups	Light Source	Temperature Change (°C) Mean ± SD	p-Value	Light Intensity (mW/cm ²) *	p-Value	Micro Hardness (MPa) ** Mean ± SD	p-Value		
Group A	QTH	7.26±1.23	*0.128	434	*0.001	15.48±0.03	*0.000		
Group B	LED	7.35±1.32	*0.128	925	*0.001	18.26±0.03	*0.000		
n value — Level of Significance: * Millivette per contineterequere . ** Maga Deced									

 Table 1. Mean temperature change during polymerization reaction and light intensity

 measurement for QTH and LED in Group A and B

p-value = Level of Significance; * Milliwatts per centimetersquare, ** Mega Pascal.

Table 2. Mean for Water Sorption and Solubility in µg/Mm3 for 7 Days Under Conventional Curing Mode

Groups	Light source	Sorption	p-Value	Solubility	p-Value
Group A	QTH	7.27 ±0.95	0.001	1.62 ± 0.78	0.001
Group B	LED	7.82 ±0.98		1.60 ± 1.48	

4. DISCUSSION

When DRC was cured using LED light instead of QTH light, there was no significant difference in temperature, sorption, or solubility capabilities, but microhardness increased. The mean microhardness measurements in both groups are found to be considerably different. Microhardness is an accurate reflection of a material's mechanical strength when measured [20]. There was also a noteworthy difference in the mean intensities of QTH and LED lamps, indicating that LED lamps are more efficient than QTH lamps. The current study's findings are consistent with prior research, which has argued that LED light curing units are more effective than QTH lightcuring units [5,6]. In the current investigation, neither group experienced a substantial temperature change. This contrasts with the study's findings, which found that LED unity produced higher temperatures than heat liberation using QTH. This shows that both light sources can be used safely without causing pulpal insult [15]. In both QTH and LED, the sorption and solubility capabilities in the traditional curing mode with water as the solvent did not change. These findings are consistent with previously published literature, implying that the results are reliable [13,14. The direct or indirect method can be used to calculate the adequate curing of resin material. Direct resin curing procedures are more complicated, expensive, and time-consuming than indirect methods [11].

Resin cement is dually cured in this study because it allows for better control throughout the cementation process. This method of curing is more successful in entering deeper zones where a single curing light would typically be unable to penetrate. Furthermore, specific dualcure cement' self-curing approach is frequently insufficient, and in such circumstances, the additional light may serve as a supplementary effect of resin curing [21]. Furthermore, the samples are produced to the recommended thickness of 2mm. Evidence suggests that when a material's thickness exceeds 2mm, its hardness decreases dramatically [22]. When the material thickness increases, regardless of whether the light-curing unit is used, adverse effects on resin curing depths and hardness are reported [23].

Furthermore, in building restorations with a thickness greater than 1 mm, the material should include a self-curing catalyst above the lightcurable component since this improves curing depth and surface hardness [24]. Apart from that, utilizing curing lamps for the recommended duration may result in the required hardness of the material, even when cement is applied in thick increments in lower sections of the restoration. Clinicians are advised to employ dual-cure materials and high-intensity curing lights while utilizing DRC to get desirable clinical effects and superior mechanical properties (23, 24). Similarly, the surface hardness of Vickers can be affected by different curing lamps. Evidence suggests that the top surface of the repair, which is effectively light stimulated, has a higher hardness [25]. Although the cement is usually utilized in thin segments in regular clinical cases, this is not always the case. Because of the poorly defined cavity shape and occlusal imbalance [17], some indirect restorations do not always have a uniform thickness. In everyday dental practices, LED light must be favored over QTH light to achieve higher mechanical strength of the material (DRC) in microhardness. The hardness of cement in deeper areas of repair was not studied in this study, and it is proposed that it be evaluated in future such investigations. As a result, we suggested that comparison studies be conducted to assess the effect of the bonding agents on DRC samples using the LED curing unit. Since then, the current investigation has demonstrated the impact of five important variables on the success or failure of a typical filling material used by general dentists in their offices.

5. CONCLUSION

When it came to increasing the micro surface hardness of dental resin composites, LEDs were more successful than QTH Light. A significant difference was there in the mean light intensities when the two different light sources were used. Still, no difference was found in the temperature change throughout the polymerization reaction or in the sorption and solubility of dental resin composites.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Corona SA, Borsatto MC, Rocha RA, Palma-Dibb RG. Microleakage on Class V glass ionomer restorations after cavity preparation with aluminum oxide air abrasion. Brazilian Dental Journal. 2005;16(1):35-8.
- Flury S, Hayoz S, Peutzfeldt A, Hüsler J, Lussi A. Depth of cure of resin composites: is the ISO 4049 method suitable for bulk fill materials? Dental materials: official publication of the Academy of Dental Materials. 2012;28(5):521-8.
- 3. Banerjee A. Minimal intervention dentistry: part 7. Minimally invasive operative caries

management: rationale and techniques. British Dental Journal, 2013;214(3):107-11.

- 4. Wang F, Takahashi H, Iwasaki N. Translucency of dental ceramics with different thicknesses. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 2013;110(1):14-20.
- Ozturk B, Cobanoglu N, Cetin AR, Gunduz B. Conversion degrees of resin composites using different light sources. European Journal of Dentistry. 2013;7(1):102-9.
- 6. Pol CW, Kalk W. A systematic review of ceramic inlays in posterior teeth: an update. The International Journal of Prosthodontics. 2011;24(6):566-75.
- Kim EH, Jung KH, Son SA, Hur B, Kwon YH, Park JK. Effect of resin thickness on the microhardness and optical properties of bulk-fill resin composites. Restorative Dentistry & Endodontics. 2015;40(2):128-35.
- Garcia D, Yaman P, Dennison J, Neiva G. Polymerization shrinkage and depth of cure of bulk fill flowable composite resins. Operative Dentistry. 2014;39(4):441-8.
- 9. Dionvsopoulos D. Papadopoulos С, Koliniotou-Koumpia E. Effect of temperature, curing time, and filler composition on surface microhardness of composite resins. Journal of Conservative Dentistry : JCD. 2015;18(2):114-8.
- Celik C, Cehreli SB, Arhun N. Resin composite repair: Quantitative microleakage evaluation of resin-resin and resin-tooth interfaces with different surface treatments. European Journal of Dentistry. 2015;9(1):92-9.
- 11. Yoshida K, Meng X. Influence of lightexposure methods and depths of cavity on the microhardness of dual-cured core build-up resin composites. Journal of Applied Oral Science : Revista FOB. 2014;22:44-51.
- Kuguimiya RN, Alves LB, Seabra FR, 12. Sarmento CF, Santos AJ, Machado CT. light-curing Influence of units and micro restorative materials on the hardness of resin cements. Indian journal of dental research : official publication of Indian Society for Dental Research. 2010;21(1):49-53.
- 13. Hegde V, Jadhav S, Aher GB. A clinical survey of the output intensity of 200 light curing units in dental offices across Maharashtra. Journal of Conservative Dentistry : JCD. 2009;12(3):105-8.
- 14. Mousavinasab SM, Meyers I. Comparison of Depth of Cure, Hardness and Heat

Generation of LED and High Intensity QTH Light Sources. European Journal of Dentistry. 2011;5(3):299-304.

- Taher NM, Al-Khairallah Y, Al-Aujan SH, Ad'dahash M. The effect of different lightcuring methods on temperature changes of dual polymerizing agents cemented to human dentin. The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice. 2008;9(2):57-64.
- Choudhary S, Suprabha B. Effectiveness of light emitting diode and halogen light curing units for curing microhybrid and nanocomposites. Journal of conservative dentistry : JCD. 2013;16(3):233-7.
- Yoshida K, Meng X. Influence of lightexposure methods and depths of cavity on the microhardness of dual-cured core build-up resin composites. J Appl Oral Sci. 2014;22(1):44-51.
- Cantekin K, Buyuk SK, Delikan E, Pedük K, Demirbuga S. Pulp chamber temperature increase from curing light units: an in vitro study. Journal of Dentistry for Children (Chicago, III). 2014;81(3):128-32.
- Carvalho AA, Moreira Fdo C, Fonseca RB, Soares CJ, Franco EB, Souza JB, et al. Effect of light sources and curing mode techniques on sorption, solubility and biaxial flexural strength of a composite

resin. J Appl Oral Sci. 2012;20(2):246-52.

- 20. De Souza G, Braga RR, Cesar PF, Lopes GC. Correlation between clinical performance and degree of conversion of resin cements: a literature review. J Appl Oral Sci. 2015;23(4):358-68.
- 21. Misilli T, Gönülol N. Water sorption and solubility of bulk-fill composites polymerized with a third generation LED LCU. Brazilian Oral Research. 2017;31:e80.
- 22. Emami N, Söderholm K. Young's modulus and degree of conversion of different combination of light-cure dental resins. Open Dent J. 2009;3:202-7.
- Bayindir F, Ilday NO, Bayindir YZ, Karataş O, Gurpinar A. Color changes in resin cement polymerized with different curing lights under indirect restorations. Journal of conservative dentistry : JCD. 2016;19(1):46-50.
- 24. Krämer N, Lohbauer U, García-Godoy F, Frankenberger R. Light curing of resinbased composites in the LED era. American Journal of Dentistry. 2008;21(3):135-42.
- 25. Ozakar Ilday N, Bayindir Y, Bayindir F, Gurpinar A. The effect of light curing units, curing time, and veneering materials on resin cement microhardness. Journal of Dental Sciences. 2013;8:141-6.

© 2021 Hassan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0); which permits unrestricted use; distribution; and reproduction in any medium; provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/81015